arXiv:1008.5273v2 [math.AG] 1 Sep 2010

ON QUANTIZATION OF COMPLEX SYMPLECTIC MANIFOLDS

ANDREA D'AGNOLO AND MASAKI KASHIWARA

Abstract. Let X be a complex symplectic manifold. By showing that any Lagrangian subvariety has a unique lift to a contactification, we associate to X a triangulated category of regular holonomic microdifferential modules. If X is compact, this is a Calabi-Yau category of complex dimension $\dim X + 1$. We further show that regular holonomic microdifferential modules can be realized as modules over a quantization algebroid canonically associated to X.

Contents

Introduction 1
1. Gerbes and algebroid stacks 5
2. Contactification of symplectic manifolds 9
3. Holonomic modules on symplectic manifolds 15
4. Quantization algebroid 20
5. Quantization modules 24
Appendix A. Remarks on deformation-quantization 31
References 38

Introduction

Let X be a complex symplectic manifold. As shown in [16] (see also [13]), X is endowed with a canonical deformation quantization algebroid W_X. Recall that an algebroid is to an algebra as a gerbe is to a group. The local model of W_X is an algebra similar to the one of microdifferential operators,

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53D55,46L65,32C38,14J32.

Key words and phrases. quantization, algebroid stacks, microdifferential operators, regular holonomic modules, Calabi-Yau categories.

The first named author (A.D'A.) expresses his gratitude to the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences of Kyoto University for hospitality during the preparation of this paper.

with a central deformation parameter $\hbar$. The center of W_X is a subfield $\mathbf{k}$ of formal Laurent series $\mathbb{C}[\hbar^{-1}, \hbar]]$.

Deformation quantization modules have now been studied quite extensively (see [3, 11, 12] and also [14, 19] for related results), and they turned out to be useful in other contexts as well (see e.g. [9]). Of particular interest are modules supported by Lagrangian subvarieties. It is conjectured in [11] that, if X is compact, the triangulated category of regular holonomic deformation-quantization modules is Calabi-Yau of dimension $\dim X$ over $\mathbf{k}$.

There are some cases (representation theory, homological mirror symmetry, quantization in the sense of [5]) where one would like to deal with categories whose center is $\mathbb{C}$ instead of $\mathbf{k}$. In the first part of this paper, we associate to X a $\mathbb{C}$-linear triangulated category of regular holonomic microdifferential modules. If X is compact, this category is Calabi-Yau of dimension $\dim X + 1$ over $\mathbb{C}$.

Our construction goes as follows. For a possibly singular Lagrangian subvariety $\Lambda \subset X$, we prove that there is a unique contactification $\rho\colon Y \to X$ of a neighborhood of Λ and a Lagrangian subvariety $\Gamma \subset Y$ such that ρ induces a homeomorphism between Γ and Λ. As shown in [6], the contact manifold Y is endowed with a canonical microdifferential algebroid E_Y. We define the triangulated category of regular holonomic microdifferential modules along Λ as the bounded derived category of regular holonomic E_Y-modules along Γ. We then take the direct limit over the inductive family of Lagrangian subvarieties $\Lambda \subset X$.

In the second part of this paper, we show that regular holonomic microdifferential modules can be realized as modules over a quantization algebroid $\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}_X$ canonically associated to X. More precisely, if $\Gamma \subset Y$ is a lift of $\Lambda \subset X$ as above, we prove that the category of coherent E_Y-modules supported on Γ is fully faithfully embedded in the category of coherent $\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}_X$-modules supported on Λ.

Our construction of $\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}_X$ is similar to the construction of W_X in [16], which was in turn similar to the construction of E_Y in [6]. Here, we somewhat simplify matters by presenting an abstract way of obtaining an algebroid from the data of a gerbe endowed with an algebra valued functor. Let us briefly recall the constructions of E_Y, W_X and present the construction of $\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}_X$.

Denote by P^*M the projective cotangent bundle to a complex manifold M and by $\mathcal{E}_M$ the ring of microdifferential operators on P^*M as in [17]. Recall that, in a local system of coordinates, $\mathcal{E}_M$ is endowed with the anti-involution given by the formal adjoint of total symbols.

Let Y be a complex contact manifold. By Darboux theorem, the local model of Y is an open subset of P^*M. By definition, a microdifferential algebra $\mathcal{E}$ on an open subset $V \subset Y$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra locally isomorphic to $\mathcal{E}_M$. Assume that $\mathcal{E}$ is endowed with an anti-involution $*$. Any two such pairs $(\mathcal{E}', *')$ and $(\mathcal{E}, *)$ are locally isomorphic. Such isomorphisms are not unique, and in general it is not possible to patch the algebras $\mathcal{E}$ together in order to get a globally defined microdifferential algebra on Y. However, the automorphisms of $(\mathcal{E}, *)$ are all inner and are in bijection with a subgroup of invertible elements of $\mathcal{E}$. This is enough to prove the existence of a microdifferential algebroid E_Y, i.e. an algebroid locally represented by microdifferential algebras.

Denote by T^*M the cotangent bundle to a complex manifold M, by $(t;\tau)$ the symplectic coordinates on $T^*\mathbb{C}$, and consider the projection

$$P^*(M \times \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\rho} T^*M, \quad (x, t; \xi, \tau) \mapsto (x, \xi/\tau)$$

defined for $\tau \neq 0$. This is a principal $\mathbb{C}$-bundle, with action given by translation in the t variable. Note that, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, the outer isomorphism $\mathrm{Ad}(e^{\lambda \partial_t})$ of $\rho_* \mathcal{E}_{M \times \mathbb{C}}$ acts by translation $t \mapsto t + \lambda$ at the level of total symbols.

Let X be a complex symplectic manifold. By Darboux theorem, the local model of X is an open subset of T^*M. Let $\rho\colon V \to U$ be a contactification of an open subset $U \subset X$. By definition, this is a principal $\mathbb{C}$-bundle whose local model is the projection $\{\tau \neq 0\} \to T^*M$ above. Consider a quadruple $(\rho, \mathcal{E}, *, \hbar)$ of a contactification $\rho\colon V \to U$, a microdifferential algebra $\mathcal{E}$ on V, an anti-involution $*$ and an operator $\hbar \in \mathcal{E}$ locally corresponding to ∂_t^{-1}. One could try to mimic the above construction of the microdifferential algebroid E_Y in order to get an algebroid from the algebras $\rho_*\mathcal{E}$. This fails because the automorphisms of $(\rho, \mathcal{E}, *, \hbar)$ given by $\mathrm{Ad}(e^{\lambda \hbar^{-1}})$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ are not inner. There are two natural ways out.

The first possibility, utilized in [16], is to replace the algebra $\rho_*\mathcal{E}$ by its subalgebra $\mathcal{W} = C^0_\hbar \rho_* \mathcal{E}$ of operators commuting with $\hbar$. Locally, this corresponds to the operators of $\rho_* \mathcal{E}_{M \times \mathbb{C}}$ whose total symbol does not depend on t. Then the action of $\mathrm{Ad}(e^{\lambda \hbar^{-1}})$ is trivial on $\mathcal{W}$, and these algebras patch together to give the deformation-quantization algebroid W_X.

The second possibility, which we exploit here, is to make $\mathrm{Ad}(e^{\lambda \hbar^{-1}})$ an inner automorphism. This is obtained by replacing the algebra $\rho_*\mathcal{E}$ by the algebra

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{E}} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} \bigl(C^\infty_\hbar \rho_* \mathcal{E}\bigr)\, e^{\lambda \hbar^{-1}},$$

where $C^\infty_\hbar \rho_* \mathcal{E} = \{a \in \rho_*\mathcal{E};\ \mathrm{ad}(\hbar)^N(a) = 0,\ \exists N \geq 0\}$ locally corresponds to operators in $\rho_*\mathcal{E}_{M\times\mathbb{C}}$ whose total symbol is polynomial in t. By patching these algebras we get the quantization algebroid $\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}_X$. The deformation parameter $\hbar$ is not central in $\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}_X$. We show that the centralizer of $\hbar$ in $\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}_X$ is equivalent to the twist of $\mathsf{W}_X \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} (\bigoplus_{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}e^{\lambda\hbar^{-1}})$ by the gerbe parameterizing the primitives of the symplectic 2-form.

In an appendix at the end of the paper, we give an alternative construction of the deformation-quantization algebroid W_X. Instead of using contactifications, we consider as objects deformation-quantization algebras endowed with compatible anti-involution and $\mathbb{C}$-linear derivation. We thus show that W_X itself is endowed with a canonical $\mathbb{C}$-linear derivation. One could then easily prove along the lines of [15] that W_X is the unique $\mathbf{k}$-linear deformation-quantization algebroid which has trivial graded and is endowed with compatible anti-involution and $\mathbb{C}$-linear derivation.

Finally, we compare regular holonomic quantization modules with regular holonomic deformation-quantization modules.

This paper is organized as follows.

In section 1, after recalling the definitions of gerbe and of algebroid on a topological space, we explain how to obtain an algebroid from the data of a gerbe endowed with an algebra valued functor.

In section 2, we review some notions from contact and symplectic geometry, discussing in particular the gerbe parameterizing the primitives of the symplectic 2-form. We further show how a Lagrangian subvariety lifts to a contactification.

In section 3, we first recall the construction of the microdifferential algebroid of [6] in terms of algebroid data. Then we show how to associate to a complex symplectic manifold a triangulated category of regular holonomic microdifferential modules.

In section 4, we start by giving a construction of the deformation-quantization algebroid of [16] in terms of algebroid data. Then, with the same algebroid data, we construct the algebroid $\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}_X$.

In section 5, we prove coherency of quantization algebras and show how to realize regular holonomic microdifferential modules as modules over $\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}_X$.

In appendix A, we give an alternative description of the deformation quantization algebroid using deformation-quantization algebras endowed with compatible anti-involution and $\mathbb{C}$-linear derivation. We also compare regular holonomic deformation-quantization modules with regular holonomic quantization modules.

The results of this paper were announced in [1], to which we refer.

1. Gerbes and algebroid stacks

We review here some notions from the theory of stacks, in the sense of sheaves of categories, recalling in particular the definitions of gerbe and of algebroid (refer to [4, 10, 13, 2]). We then explain how to obtain an algebroid from the data of a gerbe endowed with an algebra valued functor.

1.1. **Review on stacks.** Let X be a topological space.

A prestack C on X is a lax analogue of a presheaf of categories, in the sense that for a chain of open subsets $W \subset V \subset U$ the restriction functor $\mathsf{C}(U) \to \mathsf{C}(W)$ coincides with the composition $\mathsf{C}(U) \to \mathsf{C}(V) \to \mathsf{C}(W)$ only up to an invertible transformation (satisfying a natural cocycle condition for chains of four open subsets). The prestack C is called separated if for any $U \subset X$ and any $p, p' \in \mathsf{C}(U)$ the presheaf $U \supset V \mapsto \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{C}(V)}(p|_V, p'|_V)$ is a sheaf. We denote it by $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathsf{C}}(p, p')$. A stack is a separated prestack satisfying a natural descent condition (see e.g. [10, Chapter 19]). If $\rho\colon Y \to X$ is a continuous map, we denote by $\rho^{-1}\mathsf{C}$ the pull back on Y of a stack C on X.

A groupoid is a category whose morphisms are all invertible. A gerbe on X is a stack of groupoids which is locally non empty and locally connected, i.e. any two objects are locally isomorphic. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a sheaf of commutative groups. A $\mathcal{G}$-gerbe is a gerbe P endowed with a group homomorphism $\mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{A}ut(\mathrm{id}_{\mathsf{P}})$. We denote by $\mathsf{P} \times^{\mathcal{G}} \mathsf{P}'$ the contracted product of two $\mathcal{G}$-gerbes. A $\mathcal{G}$-gerbe P is called invertible if $\mathcal{G}|_U \to \mathcal{A}ut_{\mathsf{P}}(p)$ is an isomorphism of groups for any $U \subset X$ and any $p \in \mathsf{P}(U)$.

Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a commutative sheaf of rings. For an $\mathcal{R}$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ denote by $\mathsf{Mod}(\mathcal{A})$ the stack of left $\mathcal{A}$-modules. An $\mathcal{R}$-linear stack is a stack A such that for any $U \subset X$ and any $p, p' \in \mathsf{A}(U)$ the sheaves $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathsf{A}}(p', p)$ have an $\mathcal{R}|_U$-module structure compatible with composition and restriction. The stack of left A-modules $\mathsf{Mod}(\mathsf{A}) = \mathsf{Fct}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathsf{A}, \mathsf{Mod}(\mathcal{R}))$ has $\mathcal{R}$-linear functors as objects and transformations of functors as morphisms.

Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a commutative $\mathcal{R}$-algebra and A an $\mathcal{R}$-linear stack. An action of $\mathcal{L}$ on A is the data of $\mathcal{R}|_U$-algebra morphisms $\mathcal{L}|_U \to \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathsf{A}}(p)$ for any $U \subset X$ and any $p \in \mathsf{A}(U)$, compatible with restriction. Then $\mathcal{L}$ acts as a Lie algebra on $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathsf{A}}(p', p)$ by $[l, f] = l_p f - f l_{p'}$, where l_p denotes the image of $l \in \mathcal{L}(U)$ in $\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathsf{A}}(p)$. This gives a filtration of A by the centralizer series

$$\begin{aligned} &C^0_{\mathcal{L}}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathsf{A}}(p', p) = \{f;\ [l, f] = 0,\ \forall l \in \mathcal{L}\}, \\ &C^i_{\mathcal{L}}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathsf{A}}(p', p) = \{f;\ [l, f] \in C^{i-1}_{\mathcal{L}},\ \forall l \in \mathcal{L}\} \quad \text{for any } i > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Denote by $C^0_{\mathcal{L}}\mathsf{A}$ and $C^\infty_{\mathcal{L}}\mathsf{A}$ the substacks of A with the same objects as A and morphisms $C^0_{\mathcal{L}}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathsf{A}}$ and $\bigcup_i C^i_{\mathcal{L}}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathsf{A}}$, respectively. Note that $C^0_{\mathcal{L}}\mathsf{A}$ is an $\mathcal{L}$-linear stack and $C^\infty_{\mathcal{L}}\mathsf{A}$ is an $\mathcal{R}$-linear stack.

An $\mathcal{R}$-algebroid A is an $\mathcal{R}$-linear stack which is locally non empty and locally connected by isomorphisms. Thus, an algebroid is to a sheaf of algebras as a gerbe is to a sheaf of groups. For $p \in \mathsf{A}(U)$, set $\mathcal{A}_p = \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathsf{A}}(p)$. Then $\mathsf{A}|_U$ is equivalent to the full substack of $\mathsf{Mod}(\mathcal{A}_p^{\mathrm{op}})$ whose objects are locally free modules of rank one. (Here $\mathcal{A}_p^{\mathrm{op}}$ denotes the opposite ring of $\mathcal{A}_p$.) Moreover, there is an equivalence $\mathsf{Mod}(\mathsf{A}|_U) \simeq \mathsf{Mod}(\mathcal{A}_p)$. One says that A is represented by an $\mathcal{R}$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ if $\mathcal{A} \simeq \mathcal{A}_p$ for some $p \in \mathsf{A}(X)$. The $\mathcal{R}$-algebroid A is called invertible if $\mathcal{A}_p \simeq \mathcal{R}|_U$ for any $U \subset X$ and any $p \in \mathsf{A}(U)$.

The pull-back and tensor product of algebroids are still algebroids. The following lemma is obvious.

Lemma 1.1.1. *Let A be an $\mathcal{R}$-algebroid endowed with an action of $\mathcal{L}$. If $C^0_{\mathcal{L}}\mathsf{A}$ is locally connected by isomorphisms, then $C^0_{\mathcal{L}}\mathsf{A}$ and $C^\infty_{\mathcal{L}}\mathsf{A}$ are algebroids.*

1.2. Algebroid data.

Let $\mathcal{R}$-Alg be the stack on X with $\mathcal{R}$-algebras as objects and $\mathcal{R}$-algebra homomorphisms as morphisms.

Definition 1.2.1. An $\mathcal{R}$-algebroid data is a triple (P, Φ, ℓ) with P a gerbe, $\Phi \colon \mathsf{P} \to \mathcal{R}$-$\mathsf{Alg}$ a functor of prestacks and ℓ a collection of liftings of group homomorphisms

$$\begin{tikzcd} & \Phi(p)^\times \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Ad}"] \\ \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathsf{P}}(p) \arrow[ur, "\ell_p"] \arrow[r, "\Phi"] & \mathcal{A}ut_{\mathcal{R}\text{-}\mathsf{Alg}}(\Phi(p)) \end{tikzcd} \qquad \forall U \subset X,\ \forall p \in \mathsf{P}(U), \tag{1.2.1}$$

compatible with restrictions and such that for any $g \in \mathcal{H}om_{\mathsf{P}}(p', p)$ and any $\phi' \in \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathsf{P}}(p')$ one has

$$\ell_p(g\phi' g^{-1}) = \Phi(g)(\ell_{p'}(\phi')). \tag{1.2.2}$$

Note that condition (1.2.2) ensures compatibility with the equality $\Phi(g\phi' g^{-1}) = \Phi(g)\Phi(\phi')\Phi(g^{-1})$.

Remark 1.2.2. Denote by Grp the stack on X with sheaves of groups as objects and group homomorphisms as morphisms. The $\mathcal{R}$-algebroid data (P, Φ, ℓ) induce three natural functors $E, A, F \colon \mathsf{P} \to \mathsf{Grp}$ defined by $E(p) = \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathsf{P}}(p)$, $A(p) = \mathcal{A}ut(\Phi(p))$ and $F(p) = \Phi(p)^\times$ for $p \in \mathsf{P}$. In all three cases, a morphism $p' \to p$ is sent to its adjoint. Then the commutative diagram

(1.2.1) corresponds to a commutative diagram of transformations of functors

$$\begin{tikzcd} & F \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Ad}"] \\ E \arrow[ru, "\ell"] \arrow[r, "\Phi"'] & A. \end{tikzcd}$$

Remark 1.2.3. There is a natural interpretation of $\mathcal{R}$-algebroid data in terms of 2-categories (refer to [18, §9], where 2-categories are called bicategories). Denote by $\mathcal{R}$-**Alg** the 2-prestack on X obtained by enriching $\mathcal{R}$-Alg with set of 2-arrows $f' \Rightarrow f$ given by

$$\{b \in \mathcal{A};\ bf'(a') = f(a')b,\ \forall a' \in \mathcal{A}'\},$$

for two $\mathcal{R}$-algebra morphisms $f, f' \colon \mathcal{A}' \to \mathcal{A}$. In particular, $f \simeq f'$ if and only if $f' = \mathrm{Ad}(b)f$ for some $b \in \mathcal{A}^\times$. The $\mathcal{R}$-algebroid data (P, Φ, ℓ) is equivalent to the data of the lax functor of 2-prestacks

$$\boldsymbol{\Phi} \colon \mathsf{P} \to \mathcal{R}\text{-}\mathbf{Alg},$$

where P has trivial 2-arrows and $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$ is obtained by enriching Φ at the level of 2-arrows by $\boldsymbol{\Phi}(\mathrm{id}_{g' \to g}) = \ell_p(g'g^{-1})$ for a morphism $g' \to g$ in $\mathsf{P}(p)$.

We will prove in the next proposition that the following description associates an $\mathcal{R}$-prestack A_0 to the data (P, Φ, ℓ).

(i) For an open subset $U \subset X$, objects of $\mathsf{A}_0(U)$ are the same as those of $\mathsf{P}(U)$.

(ii) For $p, p' \in \mathsf{A}_0(U)$, the sheaf of morphisms is defined by

$$\mathcal{H}om_{\mathsf{A}_0}(p', p) = \Phi(p) \overset{\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathsf{P}}(p)}{\times} \mathcal{H}om_{\mathsf{P}}(p', p).$$

This means that morphisms $p' \to p$ in A_0 are equivalence classes $[a, g]$ of pairs (a, g) with $a \in \Phi(p)$ and $g \colon p' \to p$ in P, for the relation

$$(a,\ \phi g) \sim (a\ell_p(\phi),\ g), \qquad \forall \phi \in \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathsf{P}}(p).$$

(iii) Composition of $[a, g] \colon p' \to p$ and $[a', g'] \colon p'' \to p'$ is given by

$$[a, g] \circ [a', g'] = [ag(a'), gg'].$$

Here we set for short $g(a') = \Phi(g)(a')$.

(iv) For two morphisms $[a, g], [a', g'] \colon p' \to p$ and $r \in \mathcal{R}$, the $\mathcal{R}$-linear structure of A_0 is given by

$$r[a, g] = [ra, g], \quad [a, g] + [a', g'] = [a + a'\ell_p(g'g^{-1}), g].$$

(v) The restriction functors are the natural ones.

Proposition 1.2.4. *Let* (P, Φ, ℓ) *be an* $\mathcal{R}$*-algebroid data. The description (i)–(v) above defines a separated* $\mathcal{R}$*-prestack* A_0 *on* X*. The associated stack* A *is an* $\mathcal{R}$*-algebroid endowed with a functor* $J\colon \mathsf{P} \to \mathsf{A}$ *such that* $\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathsf{A}}(J(p)) \simeq \Phi(p)$ *for any* $p \in \mathsf{P}$*.*

Proof. (a) Let us show that the composition is well defined. Consider two composable morphisms $[a, g]\colon p' \to p$ and $[a', g']\colon p'' \to p'$. At the level of representatives, set $(a, g) \circ (a', g') = (ag(a'), gg')$.

(a-i) Let us show that for $\phi \in \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathsf{P}}(p)$ we have

$$(a,\, \phi g) \circ (a', g') \sim (a\ell_p(\phi),\, g) \circ (a', g').$$

For this, we have to check that

$$(a\phi(g(a')),\, \phi gg') \sim (a\ell_p(\phi)g(a'),\, gg').$$

This follows from

$$a\ell_p(\phi)g(a') = a\phi(g(a'))\ell_p(\phi).$$

(a-ii) Similarly, for $\phi' \in \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathsf{P}}(p')$ we have to prove that

$$(a, g) \circ (a', \phi' g') \sim (a, g) \circ (a'\ell_{p'}(\phi'),\, g').$$

In other words, we have to check that

$$(ag(a'),\, g\phi' g') \sim (ag(a'\ell_{p'}(\phi')),\, gg').$$

This follows from $g\phi' g' = (g\phi' g^{-1})gg'$ and

$$ag(a'\ell_{p'}(\phi')) = ag(a')g(\ell_{p'}(\phi')) = ag(a')\ell_p(g\phi' g^{-1}),$$

where the last equality is due to (1.2.2).

(a-iii) Associativity is easily checked.

(b) The $\mathcal{R}$-linear structure is well defined by an argument similar to that in part (a) above.

(c) The functor $J\colon \mathsf{P} \to \mathsf{A}$ is induced by the functor $J_0\colon \mathsf{P} \to \mathsf{A}_0$ defined by $p \mapsto p$ on objects and $g \mapsto [1, g]$ on morphisms. The morphism $\Phi(p) \to \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathsf{A}}(J(p))$, $a \mapsto [a, \mathrm{id}]$ has an inverse given by $[a, g] \mapsto a\ell_p(g)$. □

Note that the functor $J\colon \mathsf{P} \to \mathsf{A}$ is neither faithful nor full, in general.

Remark 1.2.5. For an $\mathcal{R}$-algebroid A, denote by $\mathsf{A}^{\times}$ the gerbe with the same objects as A and isomorphisms as morphisms. Then A is the $\mathcal{R}$-algebroid associated with the data $(\mathsf{A}^{\times}, \Phi_{\mathsf{A}}, \ell)$, where $\Phi_{\mathsf{A}}(p) = \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathsf{A}}(p)$ and ℓ_p is the identity.

Example 1.2.6. Let X be a complex manifold and $\mathcal{O}_X$ its structure sheaf. To an invertible $\mathcal{O}_X$-module $\mathcal{L}$ one associates an invertible $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-gerbe $\mathsf{P}_{\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 1/2}}$ defined as follows.

(i) Objects on U are pairs $(\mathcal{F}, f)$ where $\mathcal{F}$ is an invertible $\mathcal{O}_U$-module and $f\colon \mathcal{F}^{\otimes 2} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}$ is an $\mathcal{O}_U$-linear isomorphism.

(ii) If $(\mathcal{F}', f')$ is another object, a morphism $(\mathcal{F}', f') \to (\mathcal{F}, f)$ is an $\mathcal{O}_U$-linear isomorphism $\varphi\colon \mathcal{F}' \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}$, such that $f' = f\varphi^{\otimes 2}$.

Note that any $\psi \in \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathsf{P}_{\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 1/2}}}\big((\mathcal{F}, f)\big)$ is a locally constant $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-valued function. Denote by $\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 1/2}}$ the invertible $\mathbb{C}$-algebroid associated with the data $(\mathsf{P}_{\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 1/2}}, \Phi, \ell)$, where $\Phi\big((\mathcal{F}, f)\big) = \mathbb{C}_U$, $\Phi(\varphi) = \mathrm{id}$, $\ell_{(\mathcal{F},f)}(\psi) = \psi$.

2. Contactification of symplectic manifolds

We first review here some notions from contact and symplectic geometry. In particular, we discuss the gerbe parameterizing the primitives of the symplectic 2-form. Then, we show how any Lagrangian subvariety of a complex symplectic manifold can be uniquely lifted to a local contactification.

2.1. The gerbe of primitives.

Let X be a complex manifold and $\mathcal{O}_X$ its structure sheaf. Denote by TX and T^*X the tangent and cotangent bundle, respectively, and by Θ_X and Ω^1_X their sheaves of sections. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ denote by Ω^k_X the sheaf of holomorphic k-forms. For $v \in \Theta_X$ denote by $i_v\colon \Omega^k_X \to \Omega^{k-1}_X$ the inner derivative and by $L_v\colon \Omega^k_X \to \Omega^k_X$ the Lie derivative.

Let $\omega \in \Gamma(X; \Omega^2_X)$ be a 2-form which is closed, i.e. $d\omega = 0$.

Definition 2.1.1. The gerbe C'_ω on X is the stack associated with the separated prestack defined as follows.

(1) Objects on $U \subset X$ are primitives of $\omega|_U$, i.e. 1-forms $\theta \in \Gamma(U; \Omega^1_X)$ such that $d\theta = \omega|_U$.

(2) If θ' is another object, a morphism $\theta' \to \theta$ is a function $\varphi \in \Gamma(U; \mathcal{O}_X)$ such that $d\varphi = \theta' - \theta$. Composition with $\varphi'\colon \theta'' \to \theta'$ is given by $\varphi \circ \varphi' = \varphi + \varphi'$.

The following result is clear.

Lemma 2.1.2. (i) *The stack C'_ω is an invertible $\mathbb{C}$-gerbe.*

(ii) *If $\omega' \in \Omega^2_X(X)$ is another closed 2-form, there is an equivalence*

$$\mathsf{C}'_\omega \overset{\mathbb{C}}{\times} \mathsf{C}'_{\omega'} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathsf{C}'_{\omega+\omega'}.$$

Here, for a commutative sheaf of groups $\mathcal{G}$, $\mathsf{P} \overset{\mathcal{G}}{\times} \mathsf{Q}$ denotes the contracted product of two $\mathcal{G}$-gerbes. This is the stack associated to the prestack whose objects are pairs (p, q) of an object of P and an object of Q, with morphisms

$$\mathcal{H}om_{\mathsf{P} \overset{\mathcal{G}}{\times} \mathsf{Q}}\big((p,q),(p',q')\big) = \mathcal{H}om_{\mathsf{P}}(p,p') \overset{\mathcal{G}}{\times} \mathcal{H}om_{\mathsf{Q}}(q,q').$$

For a principal $\mathbb{C}$-bundle $\rho\colon Y \to X$, denote

$$T_\lambda\colon Y \to Y, \qquad v_{\mathrm{a}} = \tfrac{d}{d\lambda} T_\lambda\big|_{\lambda=0} \in \Theta_Y$$

the action of $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and the infinitesimal generator of the $\mathbb{C}$-action, respectively.

Definition 2.1.3. The gerbe C_ω on X is defined as follows.

(1) Objects on $U \subset X$ are pairs $\rho = (V \xrightarrow{\rho} U, \alpha)$ of a principal $\mathbb{C}$-bundle ρ and a 1-form $\alpha \in \varGamma(V; \Omega^1_V)$ such that $i_{v_{\mathrm{a}}}\alpha = 1$ and $\rho^*\omega = d\alpha$. In particular, $L_{v_{\mathrm{a}}}\alpha = 0$.

(2) For another object $\rho' = (V' \xrightarrow{\rho'} U, \alpha')$, morphisms $\chi\colon \rho' \to \rho$ are morphisms of principal $\mathbb{C}$-bundles such that $\chi^*\alpha = \alpha'$.

Denote by $p_1\colon X \times \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{p_1} X$ the trivial principal $\mathbb{C}$-bundle given by the first projection. Let t be the coordinate of $\mathbb{C}$. For a primitive θ of ω, an object of C_ω is given by $(p_1, p_1^*\theta + dt)$. By the next lemma, any object ρ of C_ω is locally of this form and any automorphism of ρ is locally of the form T_λ, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. (See [16, Remark 9.3] for similar observations.)

Lemma 2.1.4. *There is a natural equivalence* $\mathsf{C}'_\omega \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathsf{C}_\omega$. *In particular,* C_ω *is an invertible* $\mathbb{C}$*-gerbe.*

Proof. As above, denote by $p_1\colon X \times \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{p_1} X$ the first projection and by t the coordinate of $\mathbb{C}$. Consider the functor $B\colon \mathsf{C}'_\omega \to \mathsf{C}_\omega$ given by $\theta \mapsto (p_1, p_1^*\theta + dt)$ on objects and $\varphi \mapsto \big((x,t) \mapsto (x, t+\varphi(x))\big)$ on morphisms.

As B is clearly faithful, we are left to prove that it is locally full and locally essentially surjective. For the latter, let $\rho = (V \xrightarrow{\rho} U, \alpha)$ be an object of $\mathsf{C}_\omega(U)$. Up to shrinking U, we may assume that the bundle ρ is trivial. Choose an isomorphism of principal $\mathbb{C}$-bundles $\xi\colon U \times \mathbb{C} \to V$. As $i_{v_{\mathrm{a}}}(\xi^*\alpha - dt) = L_{v_{\mathrm{a}}}(\xi^*\alpha - dt) = 0$, there exists a unique 1-form $\theta \in \Omega^1_X(U)$ such that $\xi^*\alpha - dt = p_1^*\theta$. Then $\omega|_U = d\theta$ and $\rho \simeq B(\theta)$.

It remains to show that any morphism $\chi\colon \rho' \to \rho$ of $\mathsf{C}_\omega(U)$ is in the image of B. Up to shrinking U, we may assume that $\rho = (p_1, p_1^*\theta + dt)$ and $\rho' = (p_1, p_1^*\theta' + dt)$. Then $\chi\colon X \times \mathbb{C} \to X \times \mathbb{C}$ is given by $(x,t) \mapsto (x, t+\varphi(x))$ for some $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$. Since $\chi^*(p_1^*\theta + dt) = p_1^*\theta' + dt$, it follows that $d\varphi = \theta' - \theta$. Hence $\chi = B(\varphi)$. ☐

Let R be a commutative ring endowed with a group homomorphism $\ell\colon \mathbb{C} \to R^\times$.

Definition 2.1.5. The stack R_ω is the invertible R-algebroid associated with the data $(\mathsf{C}_\omega, \Phi_R, \ell)$, where

$$\Phi_R(\rho) = R_U, \quad \Phi_R(\chi) = \mathrm{id}_{R_U}, \quad \ell_\rho(T_\lambda) = \ell(\lambda),$$

for $\rho = (V \xrightarrow{\rho} U, \alpha)$, $\chi\colon \rho' \to \rho$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

Note that by Lemma 2.1.2 there is an R-linear equivalence

$$R_\omega \otimes_{R_X} R_{\omega'} \xrightarrow{\sim} R_{\omega+\omega'}.$$

Remark 2.1.6. Equivalence classes of invertible $\mathbb{C}$-gerbes and of invertible R-algebroids are classified by $H^2(X;\mathbb{C})$ and $H^2(X;R^\times)$, respectively. The class of C_ω coincides with the de Rham class $[\omega]$ of the closed 2-form ω, and the class of R_ω is the image of $[\omega]$ by $\ell\colon H^2(X;\mathbb{C}) \to H^2(X;R^\times)$.

2.2. **Symplectic manifolds.** A complex symplectic manifold $X = (X, \omega)$ is a complex manifold X of even dimension endowed with a holomorphic closed 2-form $\omega \in \Gamma(X;\Omega^2_X)$ which is non-degenerate, i.e. the n-fold exterior product $\omega \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega$ never vanishes for $n = \frac{1}{2}\dim X$.

Let $H\colon \Omega^1_X \xrightarrow{\sim} \Theta_X$ be the Hamiltonian isomorphism induced by the symplectic form ω. The Lie bracket of $\varphi, \varphi' \in \mathcal{O}_X$ is given by $\{\varphi, \varphi'\} = H_\varphi(\varphi')$, where $H_\varphi = H(d\varphi)$ is the Hamiltonian vector field of φ.

Example 2.2.1. Let M be a complex manifold. Its cotangent bundle T^*M has a natural symplectic structure $(T^*M, d\theta)$, where θ denotes the canonical 1-form. Let $(x) = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a system of local coordinates on M. The associated system $(x;u)$ of local symplectic coordinates on T^*M is given by $p = \sum_i u_i(p) dx_i$. Then the canonical 1-form is written $\theta = \sum_i u_i dx_i$ and the Hamiltonian vector field of $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}_M$ is written $H_\varphi = \sum_i \bigl(\varphi_{u_i}\partial_{x_i} - \varphi_{x_i}\partial_{u_i}\bigr)$.

An analytic subset $\Lambda \subset X$ is called involutive if for any $f, g \in \mathcal{O}_X$ with $f|_\Lambda = g|_\Lambda = 0$ one has $\{f, g\}|_\Lambda = 0$. The analytic subset Λ is called Lagrangian if it is involutive and $\dim X = 2\dim\Lambda$.

Let $X' = (X', \omega')$ be another symplectic manifold. A symplectic transformation $\psi\colon X' \to X$ is a holomorphic isomorphism such that $\psi^*\omega = \omega'$.

By Darboux theorem, for any complex symplectic manifold X there locally exist symplectic transformations

$$X \supset U \xrightarrow{\psi} U_M \subset T^*M, \tag{2.2.1}$$

for a complex manifold M with $\dim M = \frac{1}{2}\dim X$.

2.3. **Contact manifolds.** Let $\gamma\colon Z \to Y$ be a principal $\mathbb{C}^\times$-bundle over a complex manifold Y. Denote by v_{m} the infinitesimal generator of the $\mathbb{C}^\times$-action on Z. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $\mathcal{O}_Z(k)$ be the sheaf of k-homogeneous functions, i.e. solutions $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}_Z$ of $v_{\mathrm{m}}\varphi = k\varphi$. Let $\mathcal{O}_Y(k) = \gamma_*\mathcal{O}_Z(k)$ be the corresponding invertible $\mathcal{O}_Y$-module, so that $\mathcal{O}_Y(-1)$ is the sheaf of sections of the line bundle $\mathbb{C} \times^{\mathbb{C}^\times} Z$.

A complex contact manifold $Y = (Z \xrightarrow{\gamma} Y, \theta)$ is a complex manifold Y endowed with a principal $\mathbb{C}^\times$-bundle γ and a holomorphic 1-form $\theta \in \varGamma(Z; \Omega^1_Z)$ such that $(Z, d\theta)$ is a complex symplectic manifold, $i_{v_{\mathrm{m}}}\theta = 0$ and $L_{v_{\mathrm{m}}}\theta = \theta$, i.e. θ is 1-homogeneous.

Example 2.3.1. Let M be a complex manifold and θ the canonical 1-form on T^*M as in Example 2.2.1. The projective cotangent bundle P^*M has a natural contact structure (γ, θ) with $\gamma \colon T^*M \setminus M \to P^*M$ the projection. Here $T^*M \setminus M$ denotes the cotangent bundle with the zero-section removed.

Note that the 1-form θ on Z may be considered as a global section of $\Omega^1_Y \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}_Y(1)$. In particular, there is an embedding

$$\iota \colon \mathcal{O}_Y(-1) \to \Omega^1_Y, \quad \varphi \mapsto \varphi\theta. \tag{2.3.1}$$

Note also that the symplectic manifold Z is homogeneous with respect to the $\mathbb{C}^\times$-action, i.e. $\theta = i_{v_{\mathrm{m}}}(d\theta)$. Moreover, there exists a unique $\mathbb{C}^\times$-equivariant embedding $Z \hookrightarrow T^*Y$ such that θ is the pull-back of the canonical 1-form on T^*Y.

Since $d\theta$ is 1-homogeneous, the Hamiltonian vector field H_φ of $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}_Z(k)$ is $(k-1)$-homogeneous, i.e. $[v_{\mathrm{m}}, H_\varphi] = (k-1)\, H_\varphi$.

An analytic subset Γ of Y is called involutive (resp. Lagrangian) if $\gamma^{-1}\Gamma$ is involutive (resp. Lagrangian) in Z.

Let $Y' = (Z' \xrightarrow{\gamma'} Y', \theta')$ be another contact manifold. A contact transformation $\chi \colon Y' \to Y$ is an isomorphism of principal $\mathbb{C}^\times$-bundles

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Z' \arrow[r, "\widetilde{\chi}"] \arrow[d, "\gamma'"] & Z \arrow[d, "\gamma"] \\
Y' \arrow[r, "\chi"] & Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

such that $\widetilde{\chi}^*\theta = \theta'$.

By Darboux theorem, for any complex contact manifold Y there locally exist contact transformations

$$Y \supset V \xrightarrow{\chi} V_M \subset P^*M, \tag{2.3.2}$$

for a complex manifold M with $\dim M = \frac{1}{2}(\dim Y + 1)$.

2.4. **Contactifications.** Let $X = (X, \omega)$ be a complex symplectic manifold. A contactification of X is a global object of the stack C_ω described in Definition 2.1.3. Morphisms of contactifications are morphisms in C_ω.

For a contactification $\rho = (Y \xrightarrow{\rho} X, \alpha)$ of X, the total space Y of ρ has a natural complex contact structure given by $(Y \times \mathbb{C}^\times \xrightarrow{q_1} Y, \tau\, q_1^*\alpha)$, where q_1 is the first projection and $\tau \in \mathbb{C}^\times$. Note that, in terms of contact structures, a morphism $\rho' \to \rho$ of contactifications is a contact transformation $\chi\colon Y' \to Y$ over X.

Example 2.4.1. Let M be a complex manifold and denote by $(t;\tau)$ the symplectic coordinates of $T^*\mathbb{C}$. Consider the principal $\mathbb{C}$-bundle

$$P^*(M \times \mathbb{C}) \supset \{\tau \neq 0\} \xrightarrow{\rho} T^*M, \quad (x,t;\xi,\tau) \mapsto (x;\xi/\tau),$$

with the $\mathbb{C}$-action given by translation in the t variable. Note that the bundle ρ is trivialized by

$$\chi\colon \{\tau \neq 0\} \xrightarrow{\sim} (T^*M) \times \mathbb{C}, \quad (x,t;\xi,\tau) \mapsto ((x;\xi/\tau),t).$$

Consider the projection $p_1\colon (T^*M) \times \mathbb{C} \to T^*M$.

As in Example 2.2.1, denote by θ the canonical 1-form of T^*M. Then a contactification of $(T^*M, d\theta)$ is given by (ρ,α), with ρ as above and $\alpha = \chi^*(p_1^*\theta + dt)$. In a system $(x;u)$ of local symplectic coordinates on T^*X, one has $\theta = u\,dx$ and $\alpha = (\xi/\tau)dx + dt$. As the canonical 1-form of $T^*(M \times \mathbb{C})$ is $\tau\alpha = \xi\,dx + \tau\,dt$, the map (2.3.1) is given by

$$\iota\colon \mathcal{O}_{P^*(M\times\mathbb{C})}(-1)|_{\{\tau\neq 0\}} \to \Omega^1_{P^*(M\times\mathbb{C})}|_{\{\tau\neq 0\}}, \quad \varphi \mapsto \varphi\,\tau\alpha.$$

2.5. **Contactification of Lagrangian subvarieties.** In this section we show how any Lagrangian subvariety of a complex symplectic manifold lifts to a contactification (see e.g. [3, Lemma 8.4] for the case of Lagrangian submanifolds).

Let us begin with a preliminary lemma.

Lemma 2.5.1. *Let M be a complex manifold, $S \subset M$ a closed analytic subset and $\theta \in \Omega^1_M$ a 1-form such that $d\theta|_{S_{reg}} = 0$. Then there locally exists a continuous function f, on S such that f is holomorphic on the non-singular locus S_{reg}, and $df|_{S_{reg}} = \theta|_{S_{reg}}$.*

Proof. Let $S' \to S$ be a resolution of singularities and let $p\colon S' \to M$ be the composite $S' \to S \hookrightarrow M$. Thus S' is a complex manifold, p is proper and $p^{-1}(S_{\mathrm{reg}}) \to S_{\mathrm{reg}}$ is an isomorphism. Consider the global section $\theta' = p^*\theta$ of $\Omega^1_{S'}$. As $d\theta|_{S_{\mathrm{reg}}} = 0$ and $p^{-1}(S_{\mathrm{reg}})$ is dense in S', we have $d\theta' = 0$.

Fix a point $s_0 \in S$ and set $S'_0 = p^{-1}(s_0)$. Since $\theta'|_{(S'_0)_{\mathrm{reg}}} = 0$, there exists a unique holomorphic function f' defined on a neighborhood of S'_0 such that $df' = \theta'$ and $f'|_{S'_0} = 0$. As p is proper, replacing M by a neighborhood of s_0 we may assume that f' is globally defined on S'.

Set $S'' = S' \times_S S'$ and $S''_0 = S'_0 \times_S S'_0$. We may assume that S''_0 intersects each connected component of S''. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} S''_{\mathrm{reg}} \arrow[r, "q"] & S'' \arrow[r, shift left, "p_1"] \arrow[r, shift right, "p_2"'] & S' \arrow[r, "p"] & M, \end{tikzcd}$$

where p_1 and p_2 are the projections $S' \times_S S' \to S'$. To conclude, it is enough to prove that $g = p_1^* f' - p_2^* f'$ vanishes, for then we can set $f(w) = f'(w')$ with $p(w') = w$.

Since $pp_1 = pp_2$, one has $dq^* g = d(pp_1 q)^* \theta - d(pp_2 q)^* \theta = 0$ so that g is locally constant on S''_{reg}. Hence g is locally constant by Sublemma 2.5.2 below with $T = S''$ and $U = S''_{\mathrm{reg}}$. Since g vanishes on S''_0, it vanishes everywhere. □

Sublemma 2.5.2. *Let T be a Hausdorff topological space and $U \subset T$ a dense open subset. Assume there exists a basis $\mathcal{B}$ of open subsets of T such that any $B \in \mathcal{B}$ is connected and $B \cap U$ has finitely many connected components. If a continuous function on T is locally constant on U, then it is locally constant on T.*

Let now $X = (X, \omega)$ be a complex symplectic manifold.

Proposition 2.5.3. *Let Λ be a Lagrangian subvariety of X. Then there exist a neighborhood U of Λ in X and a pair (ρ, Γ) with $\rho \colon V \to U$ a contactification and Γ a Lagrangian subvariety of V such that $\rho|_\Gamma$ is a homeomorphism over Λ and a holomorphic isomorphism over Λ_{reg}.*

Proof. Let $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ be an open cover of Λ in X such that for each $i \in I$ there is a primitive $\theta_i \in \Omega^1_X(U_i)$ of $\omega|_{U_i}$. Set $\Lambda_i = \Lambda \cap U_i$. Using Lemma 2.5.1, up to shrinking the cover we may assume that there is a continuous function f_i on Λ_i such that $f_i|_{\Lambda_{i,\mathrm{reg}}}$ is a primitive of $\theta_i|_{\Lambda_{i,\mathrm{reg}}}$. Set $U_{ij} = U_i \cap U_j$ and similarly for Λ_{ij}. Up to further shrinking the cover we may assume that Λ_{ij} intersects each connected component of U_{ij} and there is a function $\varphi_{ij} \in \mathcal{O}_X(U_{ij})$ such that $d\varphi_{ij} = \theta_i - \theta_j|_{U_{ij}}$ and $\varphi_{ij}|_{\Lambda_{ij,\mathrm{reg}}} = f_i - f_j|_{\Lambda_{ij,\mathrm{reg}}}$. Set $U_{ijk} = U_i \cap U_j \cap U_k$ and similarly for Λ_{ijk}. Note that $d(\varphi_{ij} + \varphi_{jk} + \varphi_{ki}) = 0$, so that $\varphi_{ij} + \varphi_{jk} + \varphi_{ki}$ is locally constant on U_{ijk}. Since it vanishes on Λ_{ijk}, it vanishes everywhere.

Set $\rho_i = (V_i \xrightarrow{p_1} U_i, \alpha_i)$, where $V_i = U_i \times \mathbb{C}$ and $\alpha_i = p_1^* \theta_i + dt$. Let (ρ_i, Γ_i) be the pair with

$$\Gamma_i = \{(x,t) \in V_i;\ x \in \Lambda_i,\ t + f_i(x) = 0\}.$$

Then the pair (ρ, Γ) is obtained by patching the (ρ_i, Γ_i)'s via the maps $(x,t) \mapsto (x, t + \varphi_{ij}(x))$. □

Let us give an example that shows how, in general, Γ and Λ are not isomorphic as complex spaces.

Example 2.5.4. Let $X = (T^*\mathbb{C}, d\theta)$ with symplectic coordinates $(x; u)$, and $Y = (X \times \mathbb{C}, \alpha)$ with extra coordinate t. Then $\theta = u\,dx$ and $\alpha = u\,dx + dt$. Take as $\Lambda \subset X$ a parametric curve $\Lambda = \{(x(s), u(s));\ s \in \mathbb{C}\}$, with $x(0) =$

$u(0)=0$. Then

$$\Gamma = \{(x,u,t);\ x=x(s),\ u=u(s),\ t+f(s)=0\},$$

where f satisfies the equations $f'(s)=u(s)x'(s)$ and $f(0)=0$. For

$$x(s)=s^3,\quad u(s)=s^7+s^8,\quad f(s)=\tfrac{3}{10}s^{10}+\tfrac{3}{11}s^{11},$$

we have an example where f cannot be written as an analytic function of (x,u). In fact, $s^{11}=11x(s)u(s)-\frac{110}{3}f(s)$ and $s^{11}\notin\mathbb{C}[[s^3,s^7+s^8]]$.

3. Holonomic modules on symplectic manifolds

We start by giving here a construction of the microdifferential algebroid of [6] in terms of algebroid data and by recalling some results on regular holonomic microdifferential modules. Then, using the results from the previous section, we show how it is possible to associate to a complex symplectic manifold a natural $\mathbb{C}$-linear category of holonomic modules.

3.1. **Microdifferential algebras.** Let us review some notions from the theory of microdifferential operators (refer to [17, 7]).

Let M be a complex manifold. Denote by $\mathcal{E}_M$ the sheaf on P^*M of microdifferential operators, and by $F_k\mathcal{E}_M$ its subsheaf of operators of order at most $k\in\mathbb{Z}$. Then $\mathcal{E}_M$ is a sheaf of $\mathbb{C}$-algebras on P^*M, filtered over $\mathbb{Z}$ by the $F_k\mathcal{E}_M$'s.

Take a local symplectic coordinate system $(x;\xi)$ on T^*M. For an open subset $U\subset T^*M$, a section $a\in\mathit{\Gamma}(U;F_k\mathcal{E}_M)$ is represented by its total symbol, which is a formal series

$$a(x,\xi)=\sum_{j\le k}a_j(x,\xi),\qquad a_j\in\mathit{\Gamma}(U;\mathcal{O}_{P^*M}(j))$$

satisfying suitable growth conditions. In terms of total symbols, the product in $\mathcal{E}_M$ is given by Leibniz rule. More precisely, for $a'\in\mathcal{E}_M$ with total symbol $a'(x,\xi)$, the product aa' has total symbol

$$\sum_{J\in\mathbb{N}^n}\frac{1}{J!}\partial_\xi^J a(x,\xi)\partial_x^J a'(x,\xi).$$

For $a\in F_k\mathcal{E}_M$, the top degree component $a_k\in\mathcal{O}_{P^*M}(k)$ of its total symbol does not depend of the choice of coordinates. The map

$$\sigma_k\colon F_k\mathcal{E}_M\to\mathcal{O}_{P^*M}(k),\quad a\mapsto a_k$$

induced by the isomorphism $F_k\mathcal{E}_M/F_{k-1}\mathcal{E}_M\simeq\mathcal{O}_{P^*M}(k)$ is called the symbol map. Recall that an operator $a\in F_k\mathcal{E}_M\setminus F_{k-1}\mathcal{E}_M$ is invertible at $p\in P^*M$ if and only if $\sigma_k(a)(p)\neq 0$.

For $a \in F_k\mathcal{E}_M$ and $a' \in F_{k'}\mathcal{E}_M$, one has

$$\{\sigma_k(a), \sigma_{k'}(a')\} = \sigma_{k+k'-1}([a, a']).$$

An anti-involution of $\mathcal{E}_M$ is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{C}$-algebras $*\colon \mathcal{E}_M \to \mathcal{E}_M^{\mathrm{op}}$ such that $** = \mathrm{id}$.

Remark 3.1.1. In a local system of symplectic coordinates, an example of anti-involution $*$ of $\mathcal{E}_M$ is given by the formal adjoint. This is described at the level of total symbols by

$$a^*(x, \xi) = \sum_{J \in \mathbb{N}^n} \frac{1}{J!} \partial_\xi^J \partial_x^J \big(a(x, -\xi)\big).$$

The formal adjoint depends on the choice of the top-degree form $dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n$.

Consider a contact transformation

$$P^*M' \supset V' \xrightarrow{\chi} V \subset P^*M$$

where M, M' are complex manifolds with the same dimension. It is a fundamental result of [17] that quantized contact transformations can be locally quantized.

Theorem 3.1.2. *With the above notations:*

- (i) *Any $\mathbb{C}$-algebra isomorphism $f\colon \chi_*\mathcal{E}_{M'}|_V \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}_M|_V$ is a filtered isomorphism, and $\sigma_k(f(a')) = \chi_*\sigma_k(a')$ for any $a' \in F_k\mathcal{E}_{M'}$.*
- (ii) *For any $p \in V$ there exists a neighborhood U of p in V and a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra isomorphism $f\colon \chi_*\mathcal{E}_{M'}|_U \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}_M|_U$.*
- (iii) *Let $*$ and $*'$ be anti-involutions of $\mathcal{E}_M|_V$ and $\mathcal{E}_{M'}|_{V'}$, respectively. For any $p \in V$ there exists a neighborhood U of p in V and a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra isomorphism f as in (ii) such that $f*' = *f$.*

An isomorphism f as in (ii) is called a quantized contact transformation over χ. Quantized contact transformations over χ are not unique. It was noticed in [6] that one can reduce the ambiguity to an inner automorphism by considering anti-involutions as in (iii) (see Lemma 3.2.4 below).

The $\mathbb{C}$-algebra $\mathcal{E}_M$ is left and right Noetherian. It is another fundamental result of [17] that the support of a coherent $\mathcal{E}_M$-module is a closed involutive subvariety of P^*M. A coherent $\mathcal{E}_M$-module supported by a Lagrangian subvariety is called holonomic. We refer e.g. to [7] for the notion of regular holonomic $\mathcal{E}_M$-module.

3.2. **Microdifferential algebroid.** Let Y be a complex contact manifold.

Definition 3.2.1. A microdifferential algebra $\mathcal{E}$ on Y is a sheaf of $\mathbb{C}$-algebras such that, locally on Y, there is a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra isomorphism $\mathcal{E}|_V \simeq \chi^{-1}\mathcal{E}_M$ in a Darboux chart (2.3.2).

Since any $\mathbb{C}$-algebra automorphism of $\mathcal{E}_M$ is filtered and symbol preserving, it follows that a microdifferential algebra $\mathcal{E}$ on Y is filtered and has symbol maps

$$\sigma_k \colon F_k\mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{O}_Y(k).$$

Example 3.2.2. Let $Y = P^*M$ be the projective cotangent bundle to a complex manifold M and denote by $\Omega_M = \Omega_M^{\dim M}$ the invertible $\mathcal{O}_M$-module of top-degree forms. Consider the algebra of twisted microdifferential operators

$$\mathcal{E}_{\Omega_M^{\otimes 1/2}} = \Omega_M^{\otimes 1/2} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_M} \mathcal{E}_M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_M} \Omega_M^{\otimes -1/2}.$$

Then $\mathcal{E}_{\Omega_M^{\otimes 1/2}}$ is a microdifferential algebra on P^*M, and the formal adjoint $*$ of Remark 3.1.1 gives a canonical anti-involution of $\mathcal{E}_{\Omega_M^{\otimes 1/2}}$.

Definition 3.2.3. The gerbe P_Y on Y is defined as follows.

(1) For an open subset $V \subset Y$, objects of $\mathsf{P}_Y(V)$ are pairs $p = (\mathcal{E}, *)$ of a microdifferential algebra $\mathcal{E}$ on V and an anti-involution $*$ of $\mathcal{E}$.

(2) If $p' = (\mathcal{E}', *')$ is another object,

$$\mathcal{H}om_{\mathsf{P}_Y}(p', p) = \{f \in \mathcal{I}som_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}\mathsf{Alg}}(\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{E});\ f{*'} = {*}f\}.$$

(The fact that the stack of groupoids P_Y is a gerbe follows from Theorem 3.1.2.)

Lemma 3.2.4 ([6, Lemma 1])**.** *For any $p = (\mathcal{E}, *) \in \mathsf{P}_Y$ there is an isomorphism of sheaves of groups*

$$\psi \colon \{b \in \mathcal{E}^\times;\ b^*b = 1,\ \sigma_0(b) = 1\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathsf{P}_Y}(p), \quad b \mapsto \mathrm{Ad}(b).$$

By this lemma, we have a natural $\mathbb{C}$-algebroid data on Y, and hence a $\mathbb{C}$-algebroid.

Definition 3.2.5. The microdifferential algebroid E_Y is the $\mathbb{C}$-algebroid associated to $(\mathsf{P}_Y, \Phi_{\mathsf{E}}, \ell)$ where

$$\Phi_{\mathsf{E}}(p) = \mathcal{E}, \quad \Phi_{\mathsf{E}}(f) = f, \quad \ell_p(g) = b,$$

for $p = (\mathcal{E}, *)$, $f \colon p' \to p$ and $g = \psi(b)$.

By the construction in § 1.2, this means that objects of E_Y are microdifferential algebras $(\mathcal{E}, *)$ endowed with an anti-involution. Morphisms $(\mathcal{E}', *') \to (\mathcal{E}, *)$ in E_Y are equivalence classes of pairs (a, f) with $a \in \mathcal{E}$

and $f\colon \mathcal{E}' \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}$ such that $f{*'} = {*}f$. The equivalence relation is given by $(a, \mathrm{Ad}(b)f) \sim (ab, f)$ for $b \in \mathcal{E}^\times$ with $b^*b = 1$ and $\sigma_0(b) = 1$.

Remark 3.2.6. Let $Y = P^*M$ be the projective cotangent bundle to a complex manifold M. With notations as in Example 3.2.2, a global object of E_{P^*M} is given by $(\mathcal{E}_{\Omega_M^{\otimes 1/2}}, *)$. This implies that the algebroid E_{P^*M} is represented by the microdifferential algebra $\mathcal{E}_{\Omega_M^{\otimes 1/2}}$.

3.3. **Holonomic modules on contact manifolds.** Let $Y = (Z \xrightarrow{\gamma} Y, \theta)$ be a complex contact manifold. Consider the stack $\mathsf{Mod}(\mathsf{E}_Y)$ of modules over the microdifferential algebroid E_Y. For a subset $S \subset Y$, denote by $\mathsf{Mod}_S(\mathsf{E}_Y)$ the full substack of $\mathsf{Mod}(\mathsf{E}_Y)$ of objects supported on S. By construction, E_Y is locally represented by microdifferential algebras. As the notions of coherent and regular holonomic microdifferential modules are local and invariant by quantized contact transformations, they make sense also for objects of $\mathsf{Mod}(\mathsf{E}_Y)$. Denote by $\mathsf{Mod}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathsf{E}_Y)$ and $\mathsf{Mod}_{\mathrm{rh}}(\mathsf{E}_Y)$ the full substacks of $\mathsf{Mod}(\mathsf{E}_Y)$ whose objects are coherent and regular holonomic, respectively.

Let R be an invertible $\mathbb{C}$-algebroid R. Then $\mathsf{Mod}(\mathsf{R})$ is locally equivalent to $\mathsf{Mod}(\mathbb{C}_Y)$. Hence the notion of local system makes sense for objects of $\mathsf{Mod}(\mathsf{R})$. Denote by $\mathsf{LocSys}(\mathsf{R})$ the full substack of $\mathsf{Mod}(\mathsf{R})$ whose objects are local systems.

Consider the invertible $\mathbb{C}$-algebroid $\mathbb{C}_{\Omega_\Lambda^{\otimes 1/2}}$ on Λ as in Example 1.2.6.

By [6, Proposition 4] (see also [3, Corollary 6.4]), one has

Proposition 3.3.1. *For a smooth Lagrangian submanifold $\Lambda \subset Y$ there is an equivalence*

$$\mathsf{Mod}_{\Lambda,\mathrm{rh}}(\mathsf{E}_Y) \simeq p_*\mathsf{LocSys}(p^{-1}\mathbb{C}_{\Omega_\Lambda^{\otimes 1/2}}),$$

where $p\colon \gamma^{-1}\Lambda \to \Lambda$ is the restriction of $\gamma\colon Z \to Y$.

Recall that a $\mathbb{C}$-linear triangulated category T is called Calabi-Yau of dimension d if for each $M, N \in \mathsf{T}$ the vector spaces $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{T}}(M, N)$ are finite-dimensional and there are isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{T}}(M, N)^\vee \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{T}}(N, M[d]),$$

functorial in M and N. Here $H^\vee$ denotes the dual of a vector space H.

Denote by $\mathbf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{rh}}(\mathsf{E}_Y)$ the full triangulated subcategory of the bounded derived category of E_Y-modules whose objects have regular holonomic cohomologies.

The following theorem is obtained in [11][1] as a corollary of results from [8].

Theorem 3.3.2. *If Y is compact, then $\mathbf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{rh}}(\mathsf{E}_Y)$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-linear Calabi-Yau triangulated category of the same dimension as Y.*

3.4. **Holonomic modules on symplectic manifolds.** Let $X = (X, \omega)$ be a complex symplectic manifold and $\Lambda \subset X$ a closed Lagrangian subvariety. By Proposition 2.5.3 there exists a neighborhood $U \supset \Lambda$, a contactification $\rho\colon V \to U$ and a closed Lagrangian subvariety $\Gamma \subset V$ such that ρ induces an isomorphism $\Gamma \to \Lambda$. Let us still denote by ρ the composition $V \to U \to X$. We set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{RH}_{X,\Lambda} &= \rho_* \mathsf{Mod}_{\Gamma,\mathrm{rh}}(\mathsf{E}_V), \\ \mathbf{DRH}_\Lambda(X) &= \mathbf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\Gamma,\mathrm{rh}}(\mathsf{E}_V). \end{aligned}$$

By unicity of the pair (ρ, Γ), the stack $\mathsf{RH}_{X,\Lambda}$ and the triangulated category $\mathbf{DRH}_\Lambda(X)$ only depend on Λ.

For $\Lambda \subset \Lambda'$, there are natural fully faithful, exact functors

$$\mathsf{RH}_{X,\Lambda} \to \mathsf{RH}_{X,\Lambda'}, \quad \mathbf{DRH}_\Lambda(X) \to \mathbf{DRH}_{\Lambda'}(X).$$

The family of closed Lagrangian subvarieties of X, ordered by inclusion, is filtrant.

Definition 3.4.1. (i) The stack of regular holonomic microdifferential modules on X is the $\mathbb{C}$-linear abelian stack defined by

$$\mathsf{RH}_X = \varinjlim_{\Lambda} \mathsf{RH}_{X,\Lambda}.$$

(ii) The triangulated category of complexes of regular holonomic microdifferential modules on X is the $\mathbb{C}$-linear triangulated category defined by

$$\mathbf{DRH}(X) = \varinjlim_{\Lambda} \mathbf{DRH}_\Lambda(X).$$

As a corollary of Proposition 3.3.1, we get

Theorem 3.4.2. *For a closed smooth Lagrangian submanifold $\Lambda \subset X$, there is an equivalence*

$$\mathsf{RH}_{X,\Lambda} \simeq p_{1*} \mathsf{LocSys}(p_1^{-1} \mathbb{C}_{\Omega_\Lambda^{\otimes 1/2}}),$$

where $p_1\colon \Lambda \times \mathbb{C}^\times \to \Lambda$ is the projection.

---

[1] The statement in [11, Theorem 9.2 (ii)] is not correct. It should be read as Theorem 3.3.2 in the present paper

Remark 3.4.3. When X is reduced to a point, the category of regular holonomic microdifferential modules on X is equivalent to the category of local systems on $\mathbb{C}^\times$.

As a corollary of Theorem 3.3.2, we get

Theorem 3.4.4. *If X is compact, then $\mathbf{DRH}(X)$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-linear Calabi-Yau triangulated category of dimension* $\dim X + 1$.

4. QUANTIZATION ALGEBROID

In this section, we first recall the construction of the deformation-quantization algebroid of [16] in terms of algebroid data. Then, with the same data, we construct a new $\mathbb{C}$-algebroid where the deformation parameter $\hbar$ is no longer central. Its centralizer is related to the deformation-quantization algebroid through a twist by the gerbe parameterizing the primitives of the symplectic 2-form.

4.1. Quantization data.

Let X be a complex symplectic manifold. Let $\rho = (Y \xrightarrow{\rho} X, \alpha)$ be a contactification of X and $\mathcal{E}$ a microdifferential algebra on Y.

Definition 4.1.1. A deformation parameter is an invertible section $\hbar \in F_{-1}\mathcal{E}$ such that $\iota(\sigma_{-1}(\hbar)) = \alpha$, under the embedding (2.3.1).

Example 4.1.2. Let $(t;\tau)$ be the symplectic coordinates on $T^*\mathbb{C}$. Recall from Example 2.4.1 the contactification of the conormal bundle T^*M to a complex manifold M given by

$$P^*(M \times \mathbb{C}) \supset \{\tau \neq 0\} \xrightarrow{\rho} T^*M.$$

In this case the condition $\iota(\sigma_{-1}(\hbar)) = \alpha$ reads $\sigma_{-1}(\hbar) = \tau^{-1}$. Denote by $\partial_t \in F_1\mathcal{E}_\mathbb{C}$ the operator with total symbol τ. It induces a deformation parameter $\hbar = \partial_t^{-1}$ in $\mathcal{E}_{M\times\mathbb{C}}$.

Recall that $T_\lambda \colon Y \to Y$ (for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$) denotes the $\mathbb{C}$-action on Y and v_a denotes its infinitesimal generator. Note that

$$\mathrm{ad}(\hbar^{-1}) = \tfrac{d}{d\lambda}\,\mathrm{Ad}(e^{\lambda\hbar^{-1}})|_{\lambda=0}$$

is a $\mathbb{C}$-linear derivation of $\mathcal{E}$ inducing v_a on symbols. This derivation is integrable, and induces the isomorphism

$$e^{\lambda\,\mathrm{Ad}(\hbar^{-1})} = \mathrm{Ad}(e^{\lambda\hbar^{-1}}) \colon (T_{-\lambda})_*\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}.$$

This is a quantized contact transformation over $T_{-\lambda}$.

Definition 4.1.3. The gerbe P_X on X is defined as follows.

1. Objects on $U \subset X$ are quadruples $q = (\rho, \mathcal{E}, *, \hbar)$ of a contactification $\rho = (V \xrightarrow{\rho} U, \alpha)$, a microdifferential algebra $\mathcal{E}$ on V, an anti-involution $*$ of $\mathcal{E}$ and a deformation parameter $\hbar \in F_{-1}\mathcal{E}$ such that $\hbar^* = -\hbar$.
2. If $q' = (\rho', \mathcal{E}', *', \hbar')$ is another object,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathsf{P}_X}(q', q) = \{(\chi, f);\ \chi \in \mathcal{H}om_{\mathsf{C}_\omega}(\rho', \rho),\ f \in \mathcal{I}som_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}\mathsf{Alg}}(\chi_*\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{E}),\\ f*' = *f,\ f(\hbar') = \hbar\},\end{aligned}$$

with composition given by $(\chi, f) \circ (\chi', f') = \big(\chi\chi', f(\chi_* f')\big)$.

Note that $\mathrm{Ad}(e^{\lambda\hbar^{-1}})$ commutes with $*$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, since $\hbar^* = -\hbar$.

Remark 4.1.4. Let M be a complex manifold. With notations as in Example 4.1.2, the operator $\partial_t \in F_1\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{C}}$ induces a deformation parameter $\hbar = \partial_t^{-1}$ in the algebra $\mathcal{E}_{\Omega_{M\times\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes 1/2}}$ of twisted microdifferential operators. Hence P_{T^*M} has a global object given by

$$(\rho,\ \mathcal{E}_{\Omega_{M\times\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes 1/2}}|_{\{\tau\neq 0\}},\ *,\ \partial_t^{-1}),$$

with $*$ the anti-involution given by the formal adjoint.

Lemma 4.1.5 ([16, Lemma 5.4])**.** *For any $q = (\rho, \mathcal{E}, *, \hbar) \in \mathsf{P}_X(U)$ there is an isomorphism of sheaves of groups*

$$\psi\colon \mathbb{C}_U \times \{b \in \rho_* F_0\mathcal{E}^\times;\ [\hbar, b] = 0,\ b^* b = 1,\ \sigma_0(b) = 1\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathsf{P}_X}(q)$$

given by $\psi(\mu, b) = \big(T_\mu, \mathrm{Ad}(be^{\mu\hbar^{-1}})\big)$.

One could now try to mimic the construction of the microdifferential algebroid E_Y in order to get an algebroid from the algebras $\rho_*\mathcal{E}$. This fails because the automorphisms of $(\rho, \mathcal{E}, *, \hbar)$ are not all inner, an outer automorphism being given by $\mathrm{Ad}(e^{\lambda\hbar^{-1}})$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

There are two natural ways out: consider subalgebras where $\mathrm{Ad}(e^{\lambda\hbar^{-1}})$ acts as the identity, or consider bigger algebras where $\mathrm{Ad}(e^{\lambda\hbar^{-1}})$ becomes inner. The first solution, utilized in [16] to construct the deformation-quantization algebroid, is recalled in section 4.2. The second solution is presented in section 4.3, and will allow us to construct the quantization algebroid.

4.2. **Deformation-quantization algebroid.**

Let X be a complex symplectic manifold. We can now describe the deformation-quantization algebroid of [16] in terms of algebroid data.

Let $\rho = (Y \xrightarrow{\rho} X, \alpha)$ be a contactification of X. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a microdifferential algebra on Y and $\hbar \in F_{-1}\mathcal{E}$ a deformation parameter. To $(\rho, \mathcal{E}, \hbar)$ one associates the deformation-quantization algebra

$$\mathcal{W} = C^0_\hbar \rho_* \mathcal{E}.$$

This is the subalgebra of $\rho_*\mathcal{E}$ of operators commuting with $\hbar$. Then the action of $\mathrm{Ad}(e^{\lambda\hbar^{-1}})$ is trivial on $\mathcal{W}$.

Example 4.2.1. As in Example 4.1.2, consider the contactification of the conormal bundle T^*M to a complex manifold M given by

$$P^*(M \times \mathbb{C}) \supset \{\tau \neq 0\} \xrightarrow{\rho} T^*M.$$

Then $\hbar = \partial_t^{-1}$ is a deformation parameter in $\mathcal{E}_{M\times\mathbb{C}}$. Set

$$\mathcal{W}_M = C^0_{\partial_t} \rho_* \big(\mathcal{E}_{M\times\mathbb{C}}|_{\{\tau\neq 0\}}\big).$$

Take a local symplectic coordinate system $(x;\xi)$ on T^*M. Since an element $a \in F_k\mathcal{W}_M$ commutes with ∂_t, its total symbol is a formal series independent of t

$$\sum_{j\le k} \widetilde{a}_j(x,\xi,\tau), \quad \widetilde{a}_j \in \mathcal{O}_{P^*(M\times\mathbb{C})}(j),$$

satisfying suitable growth conditions. Setting $a_j(x,u) = \widetilde{a}_{-j}(x,u,1)$ and recalling that $\hbar = \partial_t^{-1}$, the total symbol of a can be written as

$$a(x,u,\hbar) = \sum_{j\ge -k} a_j(x,u)\hbar^j, \quad a_j \in \mathcal{O}_{T^*M}.$$

To make the link with usual deformation-quantization, consider two operators $a, a' \in F_0\mathcal{W}_M$ of degree zero. Let $a(x,u)$ and $a'(x,u)$ be their respective total symbol. Then the product aa' has a total symbol given by the Leibniz star-product

$$a(x,u) \star a'(x,u) = \sum_{J\in\mathbb{N}^n} \frac{\hbar^{|J|}}{J!} \partial_u^J a_0(x,u) \partial_x^J a'_0(x,u).$$

Recall the gerbe P_X from Definition 4.1.3 and the isomorphism ψ of Lemma 4.1.5.

Definition 4.2.2. The deformation-quantization algebroid W_X is the $\mathbf{k}$-algebroid associated to the data $(\mathsf{P}_X, \Phi_\mathsf{W}, \ell)$ where

$$\Phi_\mathsf{W}(q) = \mathcal{W}, \quad \Phi_\mathsf{W}\big((\chi, f)\big) = \rho_* f, \quad \ell_q(\psi(\mu, b)) = b,$$

for $q = (\rho, \mathcal{E}, *, \hbar)$, $\mathcal{W} = C^0_\hbar \rho_*\mathcal{E}$, $(\chi, f)\colon q' \to q$, and for (μ, b) as in Lemma 4.1.5.

Remark 4.2.3. Let M be a complex manifold and $X = T^*M$. With notations as in Remark 4.1.4, the algebroid W_{T^*M} is represented by the algebra $\mathcal{W}_{\Omega_M^{\otimes 1/2}} = C^0_\hbar \rho_* \big(\mathcal{E}_{\Omega_{M\times\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes 1/2}}\big|_{\{\tau\neq 0\}}\big)$.

4.3. **Quantization algebras.** Let $\rho = (Y \xrightarrow{\rho} X, \alpha)$ be a contactification of the complex symplectic manifold $X = (X, \omega)$. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a microdifferential algebra on Y and $\hbar \in F_{-1}\mathcal{E}$ a deformation parameter. Let us set

$$\mathcal{E}_{[\rho]} = C^\infty_\hbar \rho_* \mathcal{E},$$

where $C^\infty_\hbar \mathcal{E} = \{a \in \mathcal{E};\ \operatorname{ad}(\hbar)^N(a) = 0,\ \text{locally for some } N > 0\}$. In local coordinates $(x, t; \xi, \tau)$, sections of $C^\infty_\hbar \mathcal{E}$ are sections of $\mathcal{E}$ whose total symbol is polynomial in t.

Definition 4.3.1. The quantization algebra associated with $(\rho, \mathcal{E}, \hbar)$ is the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{E}} = \bigoplus_{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{E}_{[\rho]} e^{\lambda\hbar^{-1}}$$

whose product is given by

$$e^{\lambda\hbar^{-1}} e^{\lambda'\hbar^{-1}} = e^{(\lambda+\lambda')\hbar^{-1}}, \quad e^{\lambda\hbar^{-1}} a = \operatorname{Ad}(e^{\lambda\hbar^{-1}})(a)\, e^{\lambda\hbar^{-1}},$$

for $\lambda, \lambda' \in \mathbb{C}$ and $a \in \mathcal{E}_{[\rho]}$.

Denote by R the group ring of the additive group $\mathbb{C}$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}$, so that

$$R \simeq \bigoplus_{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}\, e^{\lambda\hbar^{-1}}.$$

Then one has an algebra isomorphism

$$C^0_\hbar \widetilde{\mathcal{E}} \simeq \mathcal{W} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} R,$$

where $\mathcal{W} = \rho_* C^0_\hbar \mathcal{E}$ is the deformation-quantization algebra associated with $(\rho, \mathcal{E}, \hbar)$. In particular, $C^0_\hbar \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}$ is a $\mathbf{k} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} R$-algebra.

4.4. **Quantization algebroid.** Let $X = (X, \omega)$ be a complex symplectic manifold. Recall the gerbe P_X on X from Definition 4.1.3 and the isomorphism ψ of Lemma 4.1.5.

Definition 4.4.1. The quantization algebroid on X is the $\mathbb{C}$-algebroid $\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}_X$ associated to the data $(\mathsf{P}_X, \Phi_{\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}}, \ell)$ where

$$\Phi_{\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}}(q) = \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}, \quad \Phi_{\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}}(\chi,\ f) = \rho_* f, \quad \ell_q(\psi(\mu, b)) = b e^{\mu\hbar^{-1}},$$

for $q = (\rho, \mathcal{E}, *, \hbar)$, $(\chi,\ f)\colon q' \to q$, and for (μ, b) as in Lemma 4.1.5.

Note that there is a natural action of $\mathbb{C}[\hbar]$ on $\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}_X$. With the notations of §1.1, we set for short

$$C^0_\hbar \widetilde{\mathsf{E}}_X = C^0_{\mathbb{C}[\hbar]} \widetilde{\mathsf{E}}_X.$$

Remark 4.4.2. Let M be a complex manifold and $X = T^*M$. With notations as in Remark 4.1.4, the algebroid $\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}_{T^*M}$ is represented by the algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\Omega^{\otimes 1/2}_{M\times\mathbb{C}}}\big|_{\{\tau\neq 0\}}$.

Recall that $R \simeq \bigoplus_{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}\, e^{\lambda\hbar^{-1}}$. Let R_ω be the invertible R-algebroid given by Definition 2.1.5 for

$$\ell\colon \mathbb{C} \to R^\times, \quad \lambda \mapsto e^{\lambda\hbar^{-1}}.$$

The following proposition can be compared with [16, Remark 9.3].

Proposition 4.4.3. *There is an equivalence of* $\mathbf{k} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} R$*-algebroids*

$$\mathsf{W}_X \otimes_{\mathbb{C}_X} R_\omega \simeq C^0_\hbar \widetilde{\mathsf{E}}_X.$$

Proof. Consider the functor $\psi\colon C^0_\hbar \widetilde{\mathsf{E}}_X \to \mathsf{W}_X \otimes_{\mathbb{C}_X} R_\omega$ defined by

$$(\rho, \mathcal{E}, *, \hbar) \mapsto \big((\rho, \mathcal{E}, *, \hbar), \rho\big), \quad [ae^{\lambda\hbar^{-1}}, (\chi, f)] \mapsto [a, (\chi, f)] \otimes [e^{\lambda\hbar^{-1}}, \chi]$$

on objects and morphisms, respectively. Since $a \in C^0_\hbar \mathcal{E}$, ψ is indeed compatible with composition of morphisms. To show that ψ is an equivalence is a local problem, and thus follows from the isomorphism of the representative algebras $C^0_\hbar \widetilde{\mathcal{E}} \simeq \mathcal{W} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} R$. ☐

In particular, W_X is equivalent to the homogeneous component of degree zero in

$$C^0_\hbar \widetilde{\mathsf{E}}_X \otimes_{R_X} R_{-\omega} \simeq \mathsf{W}_X \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \big(\bigoplus_{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}\, e^{\lambda\hbar^{-1}}\big).$$

Recall that $R_\omega \simeq R_X$ if X admits a contactification.

5. QUANTIZATION MODULES

Here, after establishing some algebraic properties of quantization algebras, we show how the category RH_X of regular holonomic microdifferential modules can be embedded in the category of quantization modules.

5.1. **A coherence criterion.** Let us state a non-commutative version of Hilbert's basis theorem. For a sheaf of rings $\mathcal{A}$ on a topological space, consider the sheaf of rings $\mathcal{A}\langle S\rangle \simeq \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[S]$ of polynomials in a variable S which is not central but satisfies the rule

$$Sa = \varphi(a)S + \psi(a), \quad \forall a \in \mathcal{A},$$

where φ is an automorphism of $\mathcal{A}$ and ψ is a φ-twisted derivation, i.e. a linear map such that $\psi(ab) = \psi(a)b + \varphi(a)\psi(b)$. The following result can be proved along the same lines as [7, Theorem A.26].

Theorem 5.1.1. *If $\mathcal{A}$ is Noetherian, then $\mathcal{A}\langle S\rangle$ is Noetherian.*

5.2. **Algebraic properties of quantization algebras.** As the results in the rest of this section are of a local nature, we will consider the geometrical situation of Example 2.4.1. In particular, for $(t;\tau)$ the symplectic coordinates of $T^*\mathbb{C}$, we consider the projection

$$P^*(M \times \mathbb{C}) \supset Y = \{\tau \neq 0\} \xrightarrow{\rho} T^*M = X.$$

For $\hbar = \partial_t^{-1}$, we set

$$\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_{M\times\mathbb{C}}|_{\tau\neq 0}, \quad \mathcal{E}_{[\rho]} = C^{\infty}_{\hbar}\rho_*\mathcal{E}, \quad \mathcal{W} = C^0_{\hbar}\rho_*\mathcal{E}, \quad \widetilde{\mathcal{E}} = \bigoplus_{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{E}_{[\rho]}e^{\lambda\hbar^{-1}}.$$

Theorem 5.2.1. *The ring $\mathcal{E}_{[\rho]}$ is Noetherian.*

Proof. Note that there is an isomorphism $\mathcal{W}\langle S\rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}_{[\rho]}$ given by $S \mapsto t$. Using the results of [7, Appendix], one proves that $\mathcal{W}$ is Noetherian. Then $\mathcal{E}_{[\rho]}$ is also Noetherian by Theorem 5.1.1. □

Theorem 5.2.2. *The sheaves of rings $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}$ and $C^0_{\hbar}\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}$ are coherent.*

Proof. We shall only consider $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}$, as the arguments for $C^0_{\hbar}\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}$ are similar.

For a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}$-submodule Γ of $\mathbb{C}$, set $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\Gamma} = \bigoplus_{\lambda\in\Gamma} \mathcal{E}_{[\rho]}e^{\lambda\hbar^{-1}}$. By induction on the minimal number of generators of Γ one proves that $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\Gamma}$ is Noetherian. In fact, let $\Gamma = \Gamma_0 + \mathbb{Z}\lambda$ and assume that $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\Gamma_0}$ is Noetherian. If $\Gamma \simeq \Gamma_0 \oplus \mathbb{Z}\lambda$, then $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\Gamma_0}\langle S\rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\Gamma}$ by $S \mapsto e^{\lambda\hbar^{-1}}$. Hence $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\Gamma_0}$ is Noetherian by Theorem 5.1.1. Otherwise, let N be the smallest integer such that $n\lambda \in \Gamma_0$. Then $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\Gamma} \simeq \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\Gamma_0}\langle S\rangle / S - e^{n\lambda\hbar^{-1}}$ is again Noetherian.

As $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\Gamma}$ is Noetherian, it is in particular coherent. Since the morphisms $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\Gamma} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\Gamma'}$ are flat for $\Gamma \subset \Gamma'$, coherence is preserved at the limit $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}} \simeq \varinjlim_{\Gamma} \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\Gamma}$. □

For $\mathcal{M} \in \mathsf{Mod}(\mathcal{E}_{[\rho]})$, let us set for short

$$\rho_{\mathcal{E}}^{*}\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\rho^{-1}\mathcal{E}_{[\rho]}} \rho^{-1}\mathcal{M}, \quad \operatorname{Supp}(\mathcal{M}) = \operatorname{supp}(\rho_{\mathcal{E}}^{*}\mathcal{M}) \subset Y.$$

Let us denote by $\mathsf{Mod}_{\rho\text{-f,coh}}(\mathcal{E}_{[\rho]})$ the full abelian substack of $\mathsf{Mod}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathcal{E}_{[\rho]})$ whose objects $\mathcal{M}$ are such that ρ is finite on $\operatorname{Supp}(\mathcal{M})$. Let us denote by $\mathsf{Mod}_{\rho\text{-f,coh}}(\mathcal{E})$ the full abelian substack of $\mathsf{Mod}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathcal{E})$ whose objects $\mathcal{N}$ are such that ρ is finite on $\operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{N})$.

Proposition 5.2.3. (i) *The ring $\mathcal{E}$ is flat over $\rho^{-1}\mathcal{E}_{[\rho]}$.*

(ii) *There is an equivalence of categories*

$$\mathsf{Mod}_{\rho\text{-f,coh}}(\mathcal{E}_{[\rho]}) \underset{\rho_*}{\overset{\rho_{\mathcal{E}}^{*}}{\rightleftarrows}} \rho_*\mathsf{Mod}_{\rho\text{-f,coh}}(\mathcal{E}),$$

meaning that the functors $\rho_{\mathcal{E}}^{*}$ and ρ_* are quasi-inverse to each other.

Let us set for short

$$\mathcal{A}_k = \rho^{-1}F_k\mathcal{E}_{[\rho]}, \qquad \mathcal{B}_k = F_k\mathcal{E}. \tag{5.2.1}$$

Note that $\mathcal{A}_{-k} = \hbar^k\mathcal{A}_0 = \mathcal{A}_0\hbar^k$, $\mathcal{B}_{-k} = \hbar^k\mathcal{B}_0 = \mathcal{B}_0\hbar^k$ and

$$\mathcal{A}_0/\mathcal{A}_{-1} \simeq \rho^{-1}\mathcal{O}_X[t], \quad \mathcal{B}_0/\mathcal{B}_{-1} \simeq \mathcal{O}_Y.$$

The above proposition is a non commutative analogue of the following classical result

Proposition 5.2.4. (i) *The ring $\mathcal{O}_Y$ is flat over $\rho^{-1}\mathcal{O}_X[t]$.*

(ii) *There is an equivalence of categories*

$$\mathsf{Mod}_{\rho\text{-f,coh}}(\mathcal{O}_X[t]) \underset{\rho_*}{\overset{\rho^{*}}{\rightleftarrows}} \rho_*\mathsf{Mod}_{\rho\text{-f,coh}}(\mathcal{O}_Y).$$

Proof of Proposition 5.2.3 (i). With notations (5.2.1), it is enough to show that $\mathcal{B}_0$ is flat over $\mathcal{A}_0$. Thus, for a coherent $\mathcal{A}_0$-module $\mathcal{M}$, we have to prove that

$$H^{-1}(\mathcal{B}_0 \otimes^{L}_{\mathcal{A}_0} \mathcal{M}) = 0. \tag{5.2.2}$$

One says that $u \in \mathcal{M}$ is an element of $\hbar$-torsion if $\hbar^N u = 0$ for some $N \geq 0$, i.e. if $\mathcal{A}_{-N}u = 0$. Denote by $\mathcal{M}^{tor} \subset \mathcal{M}$ the coherent submodule of $\hbar$-torsion elements. One says that $\mathcal{M}$ is an $\hbar$-torsion module if $\mathcal{M}^{tor} = \mathcal{M}$ and that $\mathcal{M}$ has no $\hbar$-torsion if $\mathcal{M}^{tor} = 0$. Considering the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{M}^{tor} \to \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}/\mathcal{M}^{tor} \to 0,$$

it is enough to prove (5.2.2) in the case where $\mathcal{M}$ is either an $\hbar$-torsion module or has no $\hbar$-torsion.

(a) Assume that $\mathcal{M}$ has no $\hbar$-torsion. Then the multiplication map

$$\mathcal{A}_{-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_0} \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$$

is injective. Setting $\mathcal{M}_{-1} = \mathcal{A}_{-1}\mathcal{M} = \hbar\mathcal{M}$, this implies the isomorphism

$$(\mathcal{A}_0/\mathcal{A}_{-1}) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_0} \mathcal{M} \simeq \mathcal{M}/\mathcal{M}_{-1}.$$

By Proposition 5.2.4 (i), we have

$$H^{-1}((\mathcal{B}_0/\mathcal{B}_{-1}) \otimes^L_{\mathcal{B}_0} \mathcal{B}_0 \otimes^L_{\mathcal{A}_0} \mathcal{M}) \simeq H^{-1}((\mathcal{B}_0/\mathcal{B}_{-1}) \otimes^L_{\mathcal{A}_0/\mathcal{A}_{-1}} (\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{M}_{-1})) = 0.$$

From the exact sequence $0 \to \mathcal{B}_{-1} \to \mathcal{B}_0 \to \mathcal{B}_0/\mathcal{B}_{-1} \to 0$ we thus obtain the exact sequence

$$\mathcal{B}_{-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_0} H^{-1}(\mathcal{B}_0 \otimes^L_{\mathcal{A}_0} \mathcal{M}) \to H^{-1}(\mathcal{B}_0 \otimes^L_{\mathcal{A}_0} \mathcal{M}) \to 0.$$

By Nakayama's lemma, we get $H^{-1}(\mathcal{B}_0 \otimes^L_{\mathcal{A}_0} \mathcal{M}) = 0$.
(b) Let $\mathcal{M}$ be an $\hbar$-torsion module. As $\mathcal{M}$ is coherent, there locally exists $N > 0$ such that $\hbar^N\mathcal{M} = 0$. Considering the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{M}_{-1} \to \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}/\mathcal{M}_{-1} \to 0,$$

by induction on N one reduces to the case $N = 1$. Then $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}/\mathcal{M}_{-1}$ has a structure of $\mathcal{A}_0/\mathcal{A}_{-1}$-module. Hence

$$\mathcal{B}_0 \otimes^L_{\mathcal{A}_0} \mathcal{M} \simeq \mathcal{B}_0 \otimes^L_{\mathcal{A}_0} \mathcal{A}_0/\mathcal{A}_{-1} \otimes^L_{\mathcal{A}_0/\mathcal{A}_{-1}} \mathcal{M} \simeq \mathcal{B}_0/\mathcal{B}_{-1} \otimes^L_{\mathcal{A}_0/\mathcal{A}_{-1}} \mathcal{M},$$

and (5.2.2) follows from Proposition 5.2.4 (i). □

We shall consider an operator $a \in F_0\mathcal{E}_{[\rho]}$ monic in the t variable, i.e. an operator of the form

$$a = t^m + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} b_i t^i, \qquad m \in \mathbb{N}_{>0},\ b_i \in F_0\mathcal{W}. \tag{5.2.3}$$

Lemma 5.2.5. *Let a be of the form* (5.2.3). *Then there are isomorphisms*

$$\rho^*_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E}_{[\rho]}/\mathcal{E}_{[\rho]}a) \simeq \mathcal{E}/\mathcal{E}a, \quad \rho_*(\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{E}a) \simeq \mathcal{E}_{[\rho]}/\mathcal{E}_{[\rho]}a.$$

Proof. The first isomorphism is clear. For the second, note that $\rho_*(\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{E}a) \simeq \rho_*\mathcal{E}/\rho_*\mathcal{E}a$ since ρ is finite on $\mathrm{supp}(\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{E}a)$. Note also that, by division, any $c \in \rho_*\mathcal{E}$ can be written as $c = da + b$ with $d \in \rho_*\mathcal{E}$ and $b \in \mathcal{E}_{[\rho]}$. Then the isomorphism $\rho_*\mathcal{E}/\rho_*\mathcal{E}a \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}_{[\rho]}/\mathcal{E}_{[\rho]}a$ is given by $c \mapsto b$. □

Proof of Proposition 5.2.3 (ii). (a) Let $\mathcal{N}_0$ be a coherent $F_0\mathcal{E}$-module such that ρ is finite on $\mathrm{supp}\,\mathcal{N}_0$. We will show that $\mathcal{N}_0$ is $F_0\mathcal{W}$-coherent. As this is a local problem on Y, we can assume that $(x_0, t; \xi_0, 1) \in \mathrm{supp}\,\mathcal{N}_0$ only for $t = 0$. Thus $\mathrm{supp}\,\mathcal{N}_0 \subset \{t^p + \varphi(x, t, \xi/\tau) = 0\}$ with $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}_X[t]$ vanishing for $t = 0$ and of degree less than p in the t variable. Choose a system $u_1, \ldots, u_N$

of generators for $\mathcal{N}_0$. By division, for each i there exists a_i of the form (5.2.3) such that $a_i u_i = 0$. One thus gets an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{N}_0' \to \bigoplus_{i=1}^{N} F_0\mathcal{E}/F_0\mathcal{E}a_i \to \mathcal{N}_0 \to 0.$$

As $F_0\mathcal{E}/F_0\mathcal{E}a_i$ is $F_0\mathcal{W}$-coherent, $\mathcal{N}_0$ is a finitely generated $F_0\mathcal{W}$-module. Since also $\mathcal{N}_0'$ is finitely generated over $F_0\mathcal{W}$, it follows that $\mathcal{N}_0$ is $F_0\mathcal{W}$-coherent.

In particular, this shows that any $\mathcal{N} \in \rho_*\mathsf{Mod}_{\rho\text{-f,coh}}(\mathcal{E})$ is a coherent $\mathcal{E}_{[\rho]}$-module.

(b) Let $\mathcal{N} \in \rho_*\mathsf{Mod}_{\rho\text{-f,coh}}(\mathcal{E})$ and choose a system $u_1, \dots, u_N \in \mathcal{N}$ of generators. By (a), $\rho_* F_0\mathcal{E}u_i$ is $F_0\mathcal{W}$-coherent. Hence, $\{t^j F_0\mathcal{W}u_i\}_{j>0}$ is stationary in $\rho_* F_0\mathcal{E}u_i$, so that there exist $m_i > 0$ and $b_{ij} \in F_0\mathcal{W}$ such that $t^{m_i}u_i = \sum_{j<m_i} b_{ij}t^j u_i$. In other words, for each i there exists $a_i = t^{m_i} - \sum_j b_{ij}t^j$ of the form (5.2.3) such that $a_i u_i = 0$. One thus gets an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{N}' \to \bigoplus_{i=1}^{N} \mathcal{E}/\mathcal{E}a_i \to \mathcal{N} \to 0.$$

Applying the same argument to $\mathcal{N}'$ one gets a presentation

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^{N'} \mathcal{E}/\mathcal{E}a_i' \to \bigoplus_{i=1}^{N} \mathcal{E}/\mathcal{E}a_i \to \mathcal{N} \to 0.$$

Since $\rho_* = \rho_!$ is exact on this sequence, by Lemma 5.2.5 the module $\rho_*\mathcal{N}$ has the presentation

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^{N'} \mathcal{E}_{[\rho]}/\mathcal{E}_{[\rho]}a_i' \to \bigoplus_{i=1}^{N} \mathcal{E}_{[\rho]}/\mathcal{E}_{[\rho]}a_i \to \rho_*\mathcal{N} \to 0.$$

Applying the exact functor $\rho_{\mathcal{E}}^*$ and using again Lemma 5.2.5, we get that $\rho_{\mathcal{E}}^*\rho_*\mathcal{N} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{N}$.

(c) For $\mathcal{M} \in \mathsf{Mod}_{\rho\text{-f,coh}}(\mathcal{E}_{[\rho]})$, let us show that the map $\mathcal{M} \to \rho_*\rho_{\mathcal{E}}^*\mathcal{M}$ is injective. Let $\mathcal{M}_0$ be a lattice of $\mathcal{M}$, that is a coherent sub-$F_0\mathcal{E}_{[\rho]}$-module such that $\mathcal{E}_{[\rho]}\mathcal{M}_0 = \mathcal{M}$. Since $\rho_{F_0\mathcal{E}}^*\mathcal{M}_0$ is a lattice for $\rho_{\mathcal{E}}^*\mathcal{M}$, it is enough to prove the injectivity of the map $\mathcal{M}_0 \to \rho_*\rho_{F_0\mathcal{E}}^*\mathcal{M}_0$. Assume that $u \in \mathcal{M}_0$ is sent to 0. By Proposition 5.2.4 there are isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{M}_0/F_{-1}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{M}_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} \rho_*\rho^*(\mathcal{M}_0/F_{-1}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{M}_0) \simeq \rho_*\rho_{F_0\mathcal{E}}^*\mathcal{M}_0/F_{-1}\mathcal{E}\rho_*\rho_{F_0\mathcal{E}}^*\mathcal{M}_0.$$

It follows that $u \in F_{-1}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{M}_0$. By induction we then get $u \in \bigcap_{k>0} F_{-k}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{M}_0$, so that $u = 0$.

(d) We finally have to prove the isomorphism $\mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\sim} \rho_* \rho_{\mathcal{E}}^* \mathcal{M}$. Let $u_1, \dots, u_N$ be a system of generators of $\mathcal{M}$. By the same arguments as in (b), for each i there exists a_i of the form (5.2.3) such that $a_i u_i = 0$ in $\rho_{\mathcal{E}}^* \mathcal{M}$. By (c) this implies $a_i u_i = 0$ in $\mathcal{M}$. As in (b) we thus get a resolution

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^{N'} \mathcal{E}_{[\rho]}/\mathcal{E}_{[\rho]} a'_i \to \bigoplus_{i=1}^{N} \mathcal{E}_{[\rho]}/\mathcal{E}_{[\rho]} a_i \to \mathcal{M} \to 0,$$

giving the isomorphism $\mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\sim} \rho_* \rho_{\mathcal{E}}^* \mathcal{M}$ by Lemma 5.2.5. □

For $S \subset Y$, let us denote by $\mathsf{Mod}_{S,\mathrm{coh}}(\mathcal{E}_{[\rho]})$ the full abelian substack of $\mathsf{Mod}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathcal{E}_{[\rho]})$ whose objects $\mathcal{M}$ are such that $\mathrm{Supp}(\mathcal{M}) \subset S$. For $T \subset X$, let us denote by $\mathsf{Mod}_{T,\mathrm{coh}}(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}})$ the full abelian substack of $\mathsf{Mod}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}})$ whose objects $\mathcal{M}$ are such that $\mathrm{supp}(\mathcal{M}) \subset T$.

We set for short

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{M} = \widetilde{\mathcal{E}} \otimes_{\mathcal{E}_{[\rho]}} \mathcal{M}.$$

Proposition 5.2.6. (i) *The ring $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}$ is faithfully flat over $\mathcal{E}_{[\rho]}$.*

(ii) *Let $S \subset Y$ be an analytic subset such that $\rho|_S$ is proper and injective. Then the functor*

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\cdot) \colon \mathsf{Mod}_{S,\mathrm{coh}}(\mathcal{E}_{[\rho]}) \to \mathsf{Mod}_{\rho(S),\mathrm{coh}}(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_X)$$

is fully faithful.

Proof. (i) is straightforward.

(ii) For a coherent $\mathcal{E}_{[\rho]}$-module $\mathcal{M}$, there is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{E}_{[\rho]}$-modules

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{M} \simeq \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} e^{\lambda \hbar^{-1}} \mathcal{M}.$$

Here, the $\mathcal{E}_{[\rho]}$-module structure of $e^{\lambda \hbar^{-1}} \mathcal{M}$ is given by

$$a(e^{\lambda \hbar^{-1}} \cdot b) = e^{\lambda \hbar^{-1}} \cdot \mathrm{Ad}(e^{-\lambda \hbar^{-1}})(a) b,$$

for $a \in \mathcal{E}_{[\rho]}$ and $b \in \mathcal{M}$. Note that $\mathrm{Supp}(e^{\lambda \hbar^{-1}} \mathcal{M}) = T_\lambda \mathrm{Supp}(\mathcal{M})$.

For $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}' \in \mathsf{Mod}_{S,\mathrm{coh}}(\mathcal{E}_{[\rho]})$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Hom}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}}(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{M}', \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{M}) &\simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}_{[\rho]}}(\mathcal{M}', \bigoplus_{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}} e^{\lambda\hbar^{-1}}\mathcal{M}) \\
&\simeq \bigoplus_{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}_{[\rho]}}(\mathcal{M}', e^{\lambda\hbar^{-1}}\mathcal{M}) \\
&\simeq \bigoplus_{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}}(\rho^*_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{M}', \rho^*_{\mathcal{E}}(e^{\lambda\hbar^{-1}}\mathcal{M})) \\
&\simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}}(\rho^*_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{M}', \rho^*_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{M}) \\
&\simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}_{[\rho]}}(\mathcal{M}', \mathcal{M}),
\end{aligned}$$

where the second last isomorphism is due to the fact that $\mathrm{Supp}(\mathcal{M}') \cap \mathrm{Supp}(e^{\lambda\hbar^{-1}}\mathcal{M}) = \varnothing$ for $\lambda \neq 0$. □

5.3. **Induced modules.** Assume that the symplectic manifold X admits a contactification $\rho = (Y \xrightarrow{\rho} X, \alpha)$. In this section we show how the constructions from the previous section can be globalized.

Definition 5.3.1. For a contactification ρ of X, the gerbe P_ρ on X is defined as follows.

(1) Objects on $U \subset X$ are triples $p = (\mathcal{E}, *, \hbar)$ of a microdifferential algebra $\mathcal{E}$ on $\rho^{-1}(U)$, an anti-involution $*$ of $\mathcal{E}$ and a deformation parameter $\hbar$ such that $\hbar^* = -\hbar$.

(2) If $p' = (\mathcal{E}', *', \hbar')$ is another object,

$$\mathcal{H}om_{\mathsf{P}_\rho}(p', p) = \{f \in \mathcal{I}som_{\mathcal{R}\text{-}\mathsf{Alg}}(\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{E});\ f{*'} = {*}f,\ f(\hbar') = \hbar\}.$$

As a corollary of Lemma 3.2.4, one has

Lemma 5.3.2. *For any $p = (\mathcal{E}, *, \hbar) \in \mathsf{P}_\rho$ there is an isomorphism of sheaves of groups*

$$\psi_\rho \colon \{b \in \mathcal{E}^\times;\ [\hbar, b] = 0,\ b^*b = 1,\ \sigma_0(b) = 1\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathsf{P}_\rho}(p)$$

given by $\psi_\rho(b) = \mathrm{Ad}(b)$.

Definition 5.3.3. For a contactification ρ of X, the stack $\mathsf{E}_{[\rho]}$ is the $\mathbb{C}$-algebroid associated to the data $(\mathsf{P}_\rho, \Phi_{\mathsf{E}_{[\rho]}}, \ell)$ where

$$\Phi_{\mathsf{E}_{[\rho]}}(p) = \mathcal{E}_{[\rho]}, \quad \Phi_{\mathsf{E}_{[\rho]}}(f) = \rho_* f, \quad \ell_p(g) = b,$$

for $p = (\mathcal{E}, *, \hbar)$, $f \colon p' \to p$ and $g = \psi_\rho(b)$.

Note that Proposition 4.4.3 implies $\mathsf{W}_X \simeq C^0_\hbar \mathsf{E}_{[\rho]}$.

As in the local case, for $\mathcal{M} \in \mathsf{Mod}(\mathsf{E}_{[\rho]})$ we set for short

$$\mathrm{Supp}(\mathcal{M}) = \mathrm{supp}(\rho^*_{\mathsf{E}}\mathcal{M}) \subset Y.$$

Consider the faithful $\mathbb{C}$-linear functors

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho^{-1}\mathsf{E}_{[\rho]} \to \mathsf{E}_Y, \qquad & (\mathcal{E}, *, \hbar) \mapsto (\mathcal{E}, *), && \text{on objects,}\\
& (a, f) \mapsto (a, f), && \text{on morphisms,}\\
\mathsf{E}_{[\rho]} \to \widetilde{\mathsf{E}}_X, \qquad & (\mathcal{E}, *, \hbar) \mapsto (\rho, \mathcal{E}, *, \hbar), && \text{on objects,}\\
& (a, f) \mapsto (ae^{0\hbar^{-1}}, \mathrm{id}_\rho, f), && \text{on morphisms.}
\end{aligned}$$

For $S \subset Y$ they induce the functors

$$\rho_{\mathsf{E}}^* \colon \mathsf{Mod}_{\rho\text{-f,coh}}(\mathsf{E}_{[\rho]}) \to \rho_*\mathsf{Mod}_{\rho\text{-f,coh}}(\mathsf{E}_Y),$$
$$\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}(\cdot) \colon \mathsf{Mod}_{S,\mathrm{coh}}(\mathsf{E}_{[\rho]}) \to \mathsf{Mod}_{\rho(S),\mathrm{coh}}(\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}_X).$$

By Propositions 5.2.3 and 5.2.6 we have

Proposition 5.3.4. *(i) The functor ρ_{E}^* is an equivalence.*
(ii) Let $S \subset Y$ be an analytic subset such that $\rho|_S$ is proper and injective. Then $\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}(\cdot)$ is fully faithful.

We can thus embed regular holonomic microdifferential modules in the stack of coherent $\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}_X$-modules.

Corollary 5.3.5. *There is a fully faithful embedding*

$$\mathsf{RH}_X \subset \mathsf{Mod}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}_X).$$

Remark 5.3.6. We do not know if the above result extends to give an embedding $\mathbf{DRH}(X) \subset \mathbf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}_X)$ at the level of derived categories.

Appendix A. Remarks on deformation-quantization

We give in this appendix an alternative description of the deformation quantization algebroid using triples $(\mathcal{W}, *, v)$ of a deformation-quantization algebra $\mathcal{W}$ endowed with an anti-involution $*$ and an order preserving $\mathbb{C}$-linear derivation v. We also compare regular holonomic deformation-quantization modules with regular holonomic quantization modules.

A.1. **Deformation-quantization and derivations.**

Let $X = (X, \omega)$ be a complex contact manifold and $\mathcal{W}$ a deformation quantization algebra on X.

Lemma A.1.1. *Let w be an order preserving $\mathbf{k}$-linear derivation of $\mathcal{W}$. Then w is locally of the form $\mathrm{ad}(\hbar^{-1}d)$ for some $d \in F_0\mathcal{W}$.*

Proof. Let $(x; u)$ be a local system of quantized symplectic coordinates (see [9, §2.2.3]). For $i = 1, \dots, n$, set $e_i = \hbar w(x_i) \in F_{-1}\mathcal{W}$. From $w([x_i, x_j]) = 0$ we get $[e_i, x_j] = [e_j, x_i]$ for any $i, j = 1, \dots, n$. Hence there locally exists

$e \in F_0\mathcal{W}$ with $e_i = [x_i, e]$. Replacing w by $w - \mathrm{ad}(\hbar^{-1}e)$ we may assume $w(x_i) = 0$.

Set $d_i = \hbar w(u_i) \in F_{-1}\mathcal{W}$. From $w([x_i, u_j]) = 0$ we get $[x_i, d_j] = 0$, so that $d_i = d_i(x)$ does not depend on u. From $w([u_i, u_j]) = 0$ we get $[d_i, u_j] = [d_j, u_i]$. Hence there locally exists $d = d(x) \in F_0\mathcal{W}$ with $d_i = [u_i, d]$. Replacing w by $w - \mathrm{ad}(\hbar^{-1}d)$ we have $w(x_i) = w(u_j) = 0$, and hence $w = 0$. ☐

Definition A.1.2. Let P'_X be the stack on X associated with the separated prestack $\mathsf{P}'_{X,0}$ defined as follows.

1. Objects on $U \subset X$ are triples $q = (\mathcal{W},\, *,\, v)$ of a deformation quantization algebra $\mathcal{W}$ on U, an anti-involution $*$ and an order preserving $\mathbb{C}$-linear derivation v of $\mathcal{W}$ such that $v(\hbar) = \hbar$ and $v* = *v$.
2. If $q' = (\mathcal{W}',\, *',\, v')$ is another object,

$$\mathcal{H}om_{\mathsf{P}'_{X,0}}(q', q) = \{(g, d);\ g \in \mathcal{I}som_{\mathcal{R}\text{-}\mathsf{Alg}}(\mathcal{W}', \mathcal{W}),\ d \in F_0\mathcal{W},\\ g*' = *g,\ d = d^*,\ v - gv'g^{-1} = \mathrm{ad}(\hbar^{-1}d)\},$$

with composition given by $(g, d) \circ (g', d') = (gg', d + g(d'))$.

Using Lemma A.1.1 one gets

Lemma A.1.3. *The stack* P'_X *is a gerbe.*

Remark A.1.4. Let M be a complex manifold and $X = T^*M$. With notations as in Remark 4.1.4, where $\hbar = \partial_t^{-1}$, a global object of P'_X is given by $(\mathcal{W}_{\Omega_M^{\otimes 1/2}}, *, \mathrm{ad}(t\partial_t))$.

Lemma A.1.5. *For any* $q = (\mathcal{W},\, *,\, v) \in \mathsf{P}'_X(U)$ *there is a group isomorphism*

$$\psi'_\omega \colon \mathbb{C}_U \times \{b \in F_0\mathcal{W}^\times;\ b^*b = 1,\ \sigma_0(b) = 1\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathsf{P}'_X}(q)$$

given by $\psi'_\omega(\mu, b) = (\mathrm{Ad}(b), \mu + \hbar v(b)b^{-1})$.

Proof. (i) Let us prove injectivity. Assume that $\mathrm{Ad}(b) = \mathrm{id}$ and $\mu + \hbar v(b)b^{-1} = 0$. Then $b \in \mathbf{k}(0)$, $\mu = 0$ and $v(b) = 0$. As $v(b) = \hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial\hbar}b$, we get $b \in \mathbb{C}$. Since $\sigma_0(b) = 1$, this finally gives $b = 1$.

(ii) Let us prove surjectivity. Take $(g, d) \in \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathsf{P}'_X}(q)$. Since any $\mathbf{k}$-algebra automorphisms of $\mathcal{W}$ is inner, we can locally write $g = \mathrm{Ad}(b)$ for some $b \in F_0\mathcal{W}^\times$. As g commutes with the anti-involutions, we have $\mathrm{Ad}(b)(a^*) = (\mathrm{Ad}(b)(a))^* = \mathrm{Ad}(b^{*-1})(a^*)$ for any $a \in \mathcal{W}$. This implies $\mathrm{Ad}(b^*b) = \mathrm{id}$, so that $b^*b \in \mathbf{k}(0)$. Take $k \in \mathbf{k}(0)$ with $k^*k = b^*b$. Up to replacing b with bk^{-1} we may thus assume that $b^*b = 1$. This implies $\sigma_0(b) = \pm 1$ and we may further assume that $\sigma(b) = 1$. Replacing (g, d) by $(g, d) \cdot \psi'_\omega(b^{-1}, 0)$ we may thus assume $g = \mathrm{id}$.

Since $\mathrm{ad}(\hbar^{-1}d) = 0$, we have $d \in \mathbf{k}(0)$. As $d^* = d$ and $\hbar^* = -\hbar$, the coefficients of the odd powers of $\hbar$ in d vanish, and we may write $d = \mu + \hbar^2 d'$ for $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ and $d' \in \mathbf{k}(0)$. Take $d'' \in \mathbf{k}(0)$ such that $\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial\hbar}d'' = d'$, and set $b = \exp(\hbar d'')$. Since $v(b)b^{-1} = \hbar d'$, we have $d = \mu + \hbar v(b)b^{-1}$. Hence $\psi'_\omega(\mu, b) = (\mathrm{id}, d)$. □

Definition A.1.6. The algebroid W'_X is the $\mathbf{k}$-algebroid associated to the data $(\mathsf{P}'_X, \Phi'_{\mathsf{W}}, \ell)$ where

$$\Phi'_{\mathsf{W}}(q) = \mathcal{W}, \quad \Phi'_{\mathsf{W}}(g, d) = g, \quad \ell_q(h, e) = b,$$

for $q = (\mathcal{W}, *, v)$, $(g, d)\colon q' \to q$ and $(h, e) = \psi'_\omega(\mu, b)$.

Proposition A.1.7. *There is a* $\mathbf{k}$*-linear equivalence*

$$\mathsf{W}'_X \simeq \mathsf{W}_X.$$

This follows from the following proposition.

Proposition A.1.8. *There is an equivalence of gerbes*

$$\mathsf{P}'_X \simeq \mathsf{P}_X.$$

Proof. Let us consider the gerbe P''_X whose objects on $U \subset X$ are quintuples $q = (\rho, \mathcal{E}, *, \hbar, \mathsf{t})$ such that $\pi(q) = (\rho, \mathcal{E}, *, \hbar)$ is an object of P_X and $\mathsf{t} \in F_0\mathcal{E}$ is an operator with $[\hbar^{-1}, \mathsf{t}] = 1$. (The local model in a Darboux chart is obtained by Example 4.1.2 with $\hbar^{-1} = \partial_t$ and $\mathsf{t} = t$.) We set

$$\mathcal{H}om_{\mathsf{P}''_X}(q', q) = \mathcal{H}om_{\mathsf{P}_X}(\pi(q'), \pi(q)).$$

There is a natural equivalence

$$\mathsf{P}''_X \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathsf{P}_X, \quad q \mapsto \pi(q).$$

Consider the functor $\psi\colon \mathsf{P}''_X \to \mathsf{P}'_X$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} q &\mapsto (C^0_\hbar \rho_* \mathcal{E}, *, \mathrm{ad}(\mathsf{t}\hbar^{-1})), && \text{for } q = (\rho, \mathcal{E}, *, \hbar, \mathsf{t}), \\ (\chi, f) &\mapsto \big(\rho_* f, \mathsf{t} - f(\mathsf{t}')\big), && \text{for } (\chi, f)\colon q' \to q. \end{aligned}$$

This is well defined since

$$\mathrm{ad}(\mathsf{t}\hbar^{-1}) - f\,\mathrm{ad}(\mathsf{t}'\hbar'^{-1})f^{-1} = \mathrm{ad}((\mathsf{t} - f(\mathsf{t}'))\hbar^{-1}).$$

It follows from Lemmas A.1.5 and 4.1.5 that ψ is fully faithful. As P''_X and P'_X are gerbes, ψ is an equivalence. □

Recall that if $q = (\mathcal{W}, *, v)$ is an object of P'_X on an open subset $U \subset X$, then $\mathsf{W}_X|_U$ is represented by $\mathcal{W}$. As shown in [15], the filtration and the anti-involution of $\mathcal{W}$ extend to W_X. As we will now explain, also the derivation of $\mathcal{W}$ extends to W_X.

Let ε be a formal variable with $\varepsilon^2 = 0$. Consider the natural morphisms

$$\mathcal{W} \xrightarrow{i} \mathcal{W}[\varepsilon] \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{W}.$$

Let us extend the anti-involution $*$ to $\mathcal{W}[\varepsilon]$ by setting $\varepsilon^* = -\varepsilon$.

Lemma A.1.9. *Let $\varphi\colon \mathcal{W} \to \mathcal{W}[\varepsilon]$ be an order preserving $\mathbb{C}$-algebra morphism such that $\pi\varphi = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{W}}$, $\varphi(\hbar) = \hbar + \varepsilon\hbar^2$ and $\varphi* = *\varphi$. Then $\varphi = i + \varepsilon\hbar v$ for an order preserving $\mathbb{C}$-linear derivation v of $\mathcal{W}$ such that $v* = *v$.*

Remark A.1.10. There is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{W} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{op}}$-modules

$$(\mathcal{W}[\varepsilon])_\varphi \simeq C^1_\hbar \rho_* \mathcal{E}$$

such that the multiplication by ε corresponds to $\mathrm{ad}(\hbar^{-1})$. In local coordinates where $\hbar^{-1} = \partial_t$ and $v = \mathrm{ad}(t\partial_t)$, this isomorphism is given by $a + \varepsilon b \mapsto at + b$.

The above lemma motivates the following definition.

Definition A.1.11. A derivation of a $\mathbb{C}$-linear stack A is the data of a pair $\varphi = (\mathsf{C}, \varphi)$ where C is an invertible $\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]$-algebroid such that C/ε is represented by $\mathbb{C}_X$ and $\varphi\colon \mathsf{A} \to \mathsf{A} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathsf{C}$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-linear functor such that $\pi\varphi \simeq \mathrm{id}_{\mathsf{A}}$. Here $\pi\colon \mathsf{A} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathsf{C} \to \mathsf{A}$ is the functor induced by $\mathsf{C} \to \mathsf{C}/\varepsilon$.

Consider the following algebroid.

Definition A.1.12. The algebroid W^ε_X is the $\mathbf{k}[\varepsilon]$-algebroid associated to the data $(\mathsf{P}'_X, \Phi^\varepsilon_{\mathsf{W}}, \ell)$ where

$$\Phi^\varepsilon_{\mathsf{W}}(q) = \mathcal{W}[\varepsilon], \quad \Phi^\varepsilon_{\mathsf{W}}(g, d) = (1 + \varepsilon\,\mathrm{ad}(d))g, \quad \ell_q(h, e) = (1 + \varepsilon\mu)b,$$

for $q = (\mathcal{W}, *, v)$, $(g, d)\colon q' \to q$ and $(h, e) = \psi'_\omega(\mu, b)$.

There is a natural morphism

$$\varphi\colon \mathsf{W}_X \to \mathsf{W}^\varepsilon_X$$

satisfying $\varphi(\hbar) = \hbar + \varepsilon\hbar^2$ and $\varphi* = *\varphi$. Similarly to Proposition 4.4.3, one proves that there is an equivalence of $\mathbf{k}[\varepsilon]$-algebroids

$$\mathsf{W}^\varepsilon_X \simeq \mathsf{W}_X \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]_\omega,$$

where $\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]_\omega$ is the invertible $\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]$-algebroid given by Definition 2.1.5 for

$$\ell\colon \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]^\times, \quad \lambda \mapsto (1 + \varepsilon\lambda).$$

Thus W_X is endowed with the derivation $\varphi = (\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]_\omega, \varphi)$.

Summarizing, W_X is a filtered $\mathbf{k}$-stack endowed with an anti-involution $*$ and with a $\mathbb{C}$-linear derivation φ such that $F_0\mathsf{W}_X/F_{-1}\mathsf{W}_X$ is represented by $\mathcal{O}_X$, $\varphi(\hbar) = \hbar$ and $\varphi * = * \varphi$. One can prove along the lines of [15] that W_X is unique among the stacks which satisfy these properties and which are locally represented by deformation quantization algebras.

A.2. **Comparison of regular holonomic modules.** We shall compare here regular holonomic quantization-modules with regular holonomic deformation-quantization modules. Let us start by recalling the definition of regular holonomic quantization-modules from [11].

Let X be a complex symplectic manifold and Λ a closed Lagrangian subvariety of X. Let $\mathcal{W}$ be a deformation-quantization algebra on X.

Definition A.2.1.
(i) One says that a coherent $F_0\mathcal{W}$-module $\mathcal{M}_0$ is regular holonomic along Λ if $\operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{M}_0) \subset \Lambda$ and $\mathcal{M}_0/\hbar\mathcal{M}_0$ is a coherent $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$-module.
(ii) One says that a coherent $\mathcal{W}$-module $\mathcal{M}$ is regular holonomic along Λ if $\operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{M}) \subset \Lambda$ and there exists locally a coherent $F_0\mathcal{W}$-submodule $\mathcal{M}_0$ of $\mathcal{M}$ such that $\mathcal{M}_0$ generates $\mathcal{M}$ over $\mathcal{W}$ and $\mathcal{M}_0$ is regular holonomic along Λ.

Recall that W_X denotes the deformation-quantization algebroid. As the above definition is local, there is a natural notion of regular holonomic W_X-module along Λ. Let us denote by $\mathsf{Mod}_{\Lambda,\mathrm{rh}}(\mathsf{W}_X)$ the full substack of $\mathsf{Mod}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathsf{W}_X)$ whose objects are regular holonomic along Λ.

Up to shrinking X, we may assume that there exist a contactification $\rho\colon Y \to X$ and a Lagrangian subvariety Γ of Y such that ρ induces an isomorphism $\Gamma \to \Lambda$. By definition, regular holonomic $\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}_X$-modules along Λ are equivalent to regular holonomic E_Y-modules along Γ. In order to compare quantization and deformation-quantization modules, let us thus consider the forgetful functor

$$\mathrm{for}\colon \rho_*\mathsf{Mod}_{\Gamma,\mathrm{rh}}(\mathsf{E}_Y) \to \mathsf{Mod}_{\Lambda,\mathrm{rh}}(\mathsf{W}_X)$$

induced by the equivalence $\mathsf{W}_X \simeq C^0_\hbar\mathsf{E}_{[\rho]}$ and the functor $\rho^{-1}\mathsf{E}_{[\rho]} \to \mathsf{E}_Y$ from §5.3.

Proposition A.2.2.
(i) *The functor* for *is faithful but not locally full in general.*
(ii) *If Λ is a smooth submanifold, the functor* for *is locally essentially surjective but not essentially surjective in general.*
(iii) *The functor* for *is not locally essentially surjective in general.*

Proof. (i) holds more generally for the forgetful functor $\rho_*\mathsf{Mod}(\mathsf{E}_Y) \to \mathsf{Mod}(\mathsf{W}_X)$.

(ii) Let Λ be a smooth submanifold. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\rho_*\mathsf{Mod}_{\Gamma,\mathrm{rh}}(\mathsf{E}_Y) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{for}"] \arrow[d, leftrightarrow, "\sim"] & \mathsf{Mod}_{\Lambda,\mathrm{rh}}(\mathsf{W}_X) \arrow[d, leftrightarrow, "\sim"] \\
\rho_* p_{1*}\mathsf{LocSys}(p_1^{-1}\mathbb{C}_{\Omega_\Gamma^{\otimes 1/2}}) \arrow[r] & \mathsf{LocSys}(\mathbf{k}_{\Omega_\Lambda^{\otimes 1/2}}),
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $p_1 \colon \Gamma \times \mathbb{C}^\times \to \Gamma$ is the projection. The vertical equivalences are due to Proposition 3.3.1 and [3, Corollary 9.2], respectively. The bottom arrow is given by $L \mapsto \mathbf{k} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} L|_{s=1}$, where s is the coordinate of $\mathbb{C}^\times$.

This shows that the forgetful functor is locally essentially surjective. To prove that it is not surjective in general, take $X = \mathbb{C}^\times$ and Λ the zero section of $T^*(\mathbb{C}^\times)$. Then the local system with monodromy $1 + \hbar$ around the origin is not in the essential image of the forgetful functor.

(iii) follows from Proposition A.2.3 below. □

Before stating Proposition A.2.3 let us introduce some notations.

Let $M = \mathbb{C}$. Denote by $(x, t; \xi, \tau)$ the symplectic coordinates of $P^*(M \times \mathbb{C})$ and by $(x; u)$ those of T^*M. Let $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}_M$, and recall that $\hbar = \partial_t^{-1}$. We will identify elements $a \in \mathcal{W}$ with their total symbol $a(x, u, \tau)$, and write for example a_x for the operator with total symbol $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} a(x, u, \tau)$.

Denote by $\mathcal{O}_M^\hbar = \mathcal{W}/\mathcal{W}\partial_x$ the canonical regular holonomic module along the zero section

$$\Lambda_1 = \{(x, u);\ u = 0\}.$$

The quotient map $\mathcal{W} \to \mathcal{O}_M^\hbar$, $b \mapsto [b]$ induces an isomorphism of vector spaces $\mathcal{O}_M^\hbar \xleftarrow{\sim} C_x^0\mathcal{W}$ with the subring of operators whose total symbol does not depend on ∂_x.

For $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, consider the Lagrangian subvariety $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 \cup \Lambda_2$, with

$$\Lambda_2 = \{(x, u);\ u = x^m\}.$$

For $a \in C_x^0\mathcal{W}$, let $\mathcal{M}_a$ be the regular holonomic module along Λ with generators v_1, v_2 and relations

$$\partial_x v_1 = 0, \quad (\partial_x - x^m\partial_t)v_2 = a v_1.$$

Note that

$$\mathcal{M}_a \simeq C_x^0\mathcal{W}\, v_1 \oplus C_x^0\mathcal{W}\, v_2.$$

Let $a' \in C_x^0\mathcal{W}$ be another operator. If $[a - a'] \in (\partial_x - x^m\partial_t)\mathcal{O}_M^\hbar$, then $\mathcal{M}_a \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}_{a'}$. In fact, if $e \in C_x^0\mathcal{W}$ satisfies $a - a' = e_x - x^m e\partial_t$, an isomorphism

$\mathcal{M}_a \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}_{a'}$ is given by $v_1 \mapsto v_1'$, $v_2 \mapsto v_2' + ev_1'$. Since $\mathcal{O}_M^\hbar/(\partial_x - x^m\partial_t)\mathcal{O}_M^\hbar \simeq \bigoplus_{i=0}^{m-1} \mathbf{k}x^i$, we may thus assume that

$$a = a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_{m-1}x^{m-1} \quad \text{with } a_i \in \mathbf{k}.$$

The following counterexample was developed by the second author (M.K.) while working with Pierre Schapira at [11].

Proposition A.2.3. *If* $\mathcal{M}_a \simeq \mathrm{for}(\mathcal{N})$ *for some* $\mathcal{E}_Y$*-module* $\mathcal{N}$*, then* a *is homogeneous, i.e.* $a = a_{i_0}x^{i_0}$ *for some* $i_0 \in \{0, \ldots, m-1\}$*.*

Proof. The existence of such an $\mathcal{N}$ is equivalent to the existence of an endomorphism t of $\mathcal{M}_a$ such that $[t, x] = [t, \partial_x] = 0$ and $[t, \partial_t] = -1$.

(i) Let $tv_1 = bv_1 + cv_2$ for $b, c \in C^0_x\mathcal{W}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= t\partial_x v_1 = \partial_x t v_1 \\ &= \partial_x(bv_1 + cv_2) \\ &= b_x v_1 + c_x v_2 + c(x^m\partial_t v_2 + av_1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$b_x + ac = 0, \quad x^m c\partial_t + c_x = 0.$$

It follows from the second equation that $c = 0$. Thus the first equation implies that $b \in \mathbf{k}$. Up to replacing t by $t - b$, we may assume that $tv_1 = 0$.

(ii) Let $tv_2 = bv_1 + cv_2$ for $b, c \in C^0_x\mathcal{W}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= t\big((\partial_x - x^m\partial_t)v_2 - av_1\big) \\ &= (\partial_x - x^m\partial_t)tv_2 + x^m v_2 - [t, a]v_1 \\ &= (\partial_x - x^m\partial_t)(bv_1 + cv_2) + x^m v_2 - [t, a]v_1 \\ &= b_x v_1 + c_x v_2 + c(x^m\partial_t v_2 + av_1) - x^m b\partial_t v_1 - x^m c\partial_t v_2 + x^m v_2 - [t, a]v_1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$ac + b_x - x^m b\partial_t - [t, a] = 0, \quad c_x + x^m = 0. \tag{A.2.1}$$

The second equation gives $c = -\frac{x^{m+1}}{m+1} + d$ for $d \in \mathbf{k}$. Then, the first equation in (A.2.1) can be rewritten

$$(\mathrm{ad}(\partial_x) - x^m\partial_t)(xa + (m+1)b\partial_t) - (xa_x - ea + (m+1)\partial_t[t, a]) = 0,$$

for $e = (m+1)d\partial_t - 1 \in \mathbf{k}$. Hence $xa + (m+1)b\partial_t = xa_x - ea + (m+1)\partial_t[t, a] = 0$. Since $a = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} a_i x^i$, it implies that $\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}((e-i)a_i - (m+1)\partial_t[t, a_i])x^i = 0$. Hence we have $(e - i)a_i - (m+1)\partial_t[t, a_i] = 0$ for every i. Thus we have either $a_i = 0$ or $e = \frac{(m+1)\partial_t[t,a_i]}{a_i} + i$. Since $\frac{(m+1)\partial_t[t,a_i]}{a_i} \in (m+1)\mathbb{Z} + F_{-1}\mathbf{k}$, this implies $a = a_{i_0}x^{i_0}$ for some $0 \leq i_0 \leq m-1$. $\square$

REFERENCES

[1] A. D'Agnolo and M. Kashiwara, *A note on quantization of complex symplectic manifolds*, eprint `arXiv:1006.0306` (2010), 6 pp.
[2] A. D'Agnolo and P. Polesello, *Deformation quantization of complex involutive submanifolds*, in: Noncommutative geometry and physics (Yokohama, 2004), 127–137, World Scientific, 2005.
[3] A. D'Agnolo and P. Schapira, *Quantization of complex Lagrangian submanifolds*, Adv. Math. **213**, no. 1 (2007), 358–379.
[4] J. Giraud, *Cohomologie non abelienne*, Grundlehren der Math. Wiss. **179**, Springer, 1971.
[5] S. Gukov and E. Witten, *Branes and quantization*, `arXiv:0809.0305` (2008).
[6] M. Kashiwara, *Quantization of contact manifolds*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **32**, no. 1 (1996), 1–7.
[7] ______, *D-modules and Microlocal Calculus*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs **217**, American Math. Soc. (2003).
[8] M. Kashiwara and T. Kawai, *On holonomic systems of microdifferential equations III*, Publ. RIMS Kyoto Univ. **17** (1981), 813–979.
[9] M. Kashiwara and R. Rouquier, *Microlocalization of rational Cherednik algebras*, Duke Math. J. **144**, no. 3 (2008), 525–573.
[10] M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira, *Categories and sheaves*, Grundlehren der Math. Wiss. **332**, Springer, 2006.
[11] ______, *Constructibility and duality for simple holonomic modules on complex symplectic manifolds*, Amer. J. Math. **130**, no. 1 (2008), 207–237.
[12] ______, *Deformation quantization modules*, `arXiv:1003.3304` (2010).
[13] M. Kontsevich, *Deformation quantization of algebraic varieties*, in: EuroConférence Moshé Flato, Part III (Dijon, 2000), Lett. Math. Phys. **56**, no. 3 (2001), 271–294.
[14] R. Nest and B. Tsygan, *Remarks on modules over deformation quantization algebras*, Mosc. Math. J. **4**, no. 4 (2004), 911–940, 982.
[15] P. Polesello, *Classification of deformation quantization algebroids on complex symplectic manifolds*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **44**, no. 3 (2008), 725–748.
[16] P. Polesello and P. Schapira, *Stacks of quantization-deformation modules on complex symplectic manifolds*, Int. Math. Res. Notices **2004:49** (2004), 2637–2664.
[17] M. Sato, T. Kawai, and M. Kashiwara, *Microfunctions and pseudo-differential equations,* in: Hyperfunctions and pseudo-differential equations (Katata 1971), 265–529, Lecture Notes in Math. **287**, Springer (1973).
[18] R. Street, *Categorical structures,* in: Handbook of algebra, Vol. 1, 529–577, North-Holland (1996).
[19] B. Tsygan, *Oscillatory modules,* Lett. Math. Phys. **88**, no. 1-3 (2009), 343–369.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA PURA ED APPLICATA, UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA, VIA TRIESTE 63, 35121 PADOVA, ITALY
E-mail address: `dagnolo@math.unipd.it`

RESEARCH INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO, 606-8502, JAPAN
E-mail address: `masaki@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp`